

## Review of conservative vector fields

Recall that a vector field  $\mathbf{F}$  is **conservative** if there is a function  $f$  (the *potential*) such that  $\mathbf{F} = \nabla f$ .

Let  $D = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ , and let  $\mathbf{F}$  be a vector field on  $D$  with continuous first order partial derivatives. Suppose that  $P_y = Q_x$ . Is  $\mathbf{F}$  conservative?

- (a) Yes.
- (b) No.
- (c) Not enough information.
- (d) I don't know.

## Solution

There is not enough information.

Consider the vector fields:

$$\mathbf{F}_1(x, y) = \left\langle \frac{-2x}{(x^2 + y^2)^2}, \frac{-2y}{(x^2 + y^2)^2} \right\rangle$$

$$\mathbf{F}_2(x, y) = \left\langle \frac{-y}{x^2 + y^2}, \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2} \right\rangle$$

Both are defined over  $D = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus (0, 0)$ .

Both satisfy  $P_y = Q_x$ .

But  $\mathbf{F}_1$  is conservative: it is the gradient of  $f(x, y) = \frac{1}{x^2 + y^2}$ .

And  $\mathbf{F}_2$  is not conservative: we saw earlier that if we integrate  $\mathbf{F}_2$  around a circle containing the origin, we get  $2\pi$  (and not 0).

## Announcements

- Final exam is this Friday. Register for conflict by **today**, Monday.
- Office hours/review session this week:
  - Ordinary office hours Tuesday 11–11:50am.
  - Extra office hours Wednesday evening (6–7pm—it's fine with me if you bring your dinner). **AH 443** (Maybe also 5–6pm—sorry for lack of decision!)
  - Extra office hours Thursday 12–1pm. **AH 341**
  - Also office hours on Friday 9:30–10:30am. **AH 341**
  - Come with questions (or you can listen to other people's questions). You can also post questions in advance on Piazza (there's a folder called “questions-for-review-sessions” or something like that).
- TA help room—AH 147.
  - Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday: 4–8pm.
  - Thursday: 10–8pm. (Check back to confirm location.)
  - Friday: no help room. (Maybe? I'm working on this...)

## Other questions

**Do you have severe allergies (such that you prefer people not bring those foods for their dinner to the review session)?**

- (a) No severe allergies.
- (b) Severely allergic to peanuts.
- (c) Severely allergic to fish.
- (d) Severely allergic to something else, and I will email you about it today, so that you can make an announcement before the review sessions.
- (e) Severely allergic to stuff, but not planning on coming to the review session, so I don't care if people bring it.

## Other questions

### Which chalk is best?

- (a) Option (a)
- (b) Option (b)
- (c) Option (c)
- (d) They're all terrible, but I appreciate your effort anyway. I will now move to sit closer to the front of the room so I can see better.

## Review of conservative vector fields: results in any dimension

**Assumption:** for today, all vector fields have continuous first order partial derivatives.

### Theorem (Theorem A)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{F} \text{ is conservative} &\Leftrightarrow \int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r} \text{ is path independent} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = 0 \text{ for any closed path } C.\end{aligned}$$

### Method B

$\mathbf{F}$  is conservative if we can find the potential  $f$  by hand.

*Recall: we solve for  $P = f_x, Q = f_y$  etc.*

## Results in $\mathbb{R}^2$

Suppose  $\mathbf{F} = \langle P, Q \rangle$ , defined over  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ .

### Theorem (Theorem C2)

*If  $\mathbf{F}$  is conservative, then  $P_y = Q_x$ .*

### Theorem (Theorem D2)

*If  $D$  is simply connected, and  $P_y - Q_x = 0$ , then  $\mathbf{F}$  is conservative.*

## Recall the proof of Theorem D2

- By Theorem A, it's enough to prove that  $\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = 0$  for **any closed path**  $C$  in  $D$ .
- **Step 1:** We use Green's theorem to show that  $\int_{C'} \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = 0$  for **any simple closed path**  $C'$  in  $D$ .
- **Step 2:** Then we show that any closed path  $C$  can be split into a union of simple closed paths  $C_1 \cup C_2 \cup \dots$
- So

$$\begin{aligned}\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r} &= \int_{C_1} \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r} + \int_{C_2} \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r} + \dots \\ &= 0 + 0 + \dots \quad \text{by Step 1} \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$



## Results in $\mathbb{R}^3$

Assume  $\mathbf{F} = \langle P, Q, R \rangle$  on  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ .

### Theorem (Theorem C3)

*If  $\mathbf{F}$  is conservative, then  $\text{curl } \mathbf{F} = \langle 0, 0, 0 \rangle$ .*

### Theorem (Theorem D3)

*If  $D = \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $\text{curl } \mathbf{F} = \langle 0, 0, 0 \rangle$ , then  $\mathbf{F}$  is conservative.*

## Let's prove Theorem D3

*Compare to the proof of Theorem D2.*

- By Theorem A, it's enough to prove that  $\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = 0$  for **any closed path**  $C$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .
- **Step 1:** We use Stokes' theorem to show that  $\int_{C'} \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = 0$  for **any simple closed path**  $C'$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .
- **Step 2:** Then we show that any closed path  $C$  can be split into a union of simple closed paths  $C_1 \cup C_2 \cup \dots$
- So

$$\begin{aligned}\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r} &= \int_{C_1} \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r} + \int_{C_2} \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r} + \dots \\ &= 0 + 0 + \dots \quad \text{by Step 1} \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

## Incompressible vector fields

**Recall:** We say that  $\mathbf{F}$  is **irrotational** if  $\operatorname{curl}\mathbf{F} = \langle 0, 0, 0 \rangle$ .

We say that  $\mathbf{F}$  is **incompressible** if  $\operatorname{div}\mathbf{F} = 0$ .

Theorem (Theorem C3')

*If  $\mathbf{F} = \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{G}$ , then  $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{F} = 0$ .*

Theorem (Theorem D3')

*If  $\mathbf{F}$  is defined on all of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and  $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{F} = 0$ , then  $\mathbf{F} = \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{G}$  for some  $\mathbf{G}$ .*

Suppose you don't know anything about  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ , but I tell you that there is a vector field  $\mathbf{F} = \langle P, Q \rangle$  with  $Q_x - P_y = 0$ , but which is not conservative.

What can you say about  $D$ ?

- (a) It must be all of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .
- (b) It must be simply connected.
- (c) It must **not** be simply connected.
- (d) It must be bounded.
- (e) I can't say anything.

## Solution

It must *not be* simply connected:

If it were simply connected, then we could apply Theorem D2 (since  $Q_x - P_y = 0$ ) and conclude that  $\mathbf{F}$  is conservative, a contradiction.

## The underlying math:

The more holes that  $D$  has, the more different vector fields  $\mathbf{F}$  we can find which are not conservative but still satisfy  $Q_x - P_y = 0$ .

So “counting” these vector fields tells us how many holes are in  $D$ .

Going up one dimension, look at  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ :

- We count vector fields which are **irrotational** ( $\text{curl}\mathbf{F} = 0$ ) but **not conservative**.

This tells us how many “one-dimensional holes” are in the solid  $D$ .

- We also count vector fields which are **incompressible** ( $\text{div}\mathbf{F} = 0$ ) but **not irrotational**.

This tells us how many “two-dimensional holes” are in the solid  $D$ .

This is called studying the **cohomology** of the space  $D$ , and is a technique used in **topology**.