

## SOME CORRECTIONS

JONATHAN A. HILLMAN

ABSTRACT. Here follow some corrections and additional comments for published papers of mine. (Begun 17 September 2018; update 30 October 2023.)

Items (2), (3), (7) and (15) report serious errors. The other corrections are relatively minor.

### 1. SEIFERT FIBRE SPACES AND POINCARÉ DUALITY GROUPS

There is a gap in the claim re HNN extensions. This gap remained in [2KG], but the proof was corrected in [ACGM].

### 2. UNKNOTTING ORIENTABLE SURFACES . . . (WITH A. KAWAUCHI)

This paper is now in doubt. For surfaces of genus  $> 2$ , see instead “Embedded surfaces with infinite cyclic knot group”, by A. Conway and M. Powell [CP21]. (The cases with genus 1 or 2 remain open [June 2021].)

### 3. SIMPLE 4-KNOTS

The proof of Lemma 3 is not correct, and so the sufficiency of the invariants proposed later in the paper is moot.  
page 917, line -7: “ $t - 1$ ” should be “ $(t - 1)\lambda$ ”.

### 4. DEFICIENCIES OF LATTICES

The main argument needed cleaning up.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a finitely presentable group with a nontrivial finite normal subgroup  $N$  such that  $\Gamma/N$  is a lattice in  $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ . Then  $\mathrm{def}(\Gamma)$  is nonpositive.*

*Proof.* A group has a presentation of positive deficiency if and only if it is the fundamental group of a finite 2-complex with nonpositive Euler characteristic. The latter property is clearly inherited by subgroups of finite index. In particular, we may assume that  $\Gamma/N$  is torsion free.

Let  $P$  be a cyclic subgroup of  $N$  of prime order  $p$ , and let  $G = C_\Gamma(P)$ . Then  $A = G \cap N$  is a central subgroup of  $G$  containing  $P$ . Moreover  $[\Gamma : G] < \infty$  and so  $G/A$  is again a torsion free lattice in  $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ . Hence  $G/A$  is either a nontrivial free group or is the fundamental group of an aspherical closed surface. If  $G/A$  is free then  $G \cong (G/A) \times A$ . If  $G/A$  is a surface group it has a subgroup  $H$  of index  $|A|$ , and the class in  $H^2(G/A; A)$  corresponding to the central extension  $1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow G \rightarrow G/A \rightarrow 1$  has image 0 in  $H^2(H; A)$ . Therefore the preimage of  $H$  in  $G$  splits as a direct product  $H \times A$ , and so  $\Gamma$  has a subgroup  $D \cong H \times P$  of finite index. Let  $p$  be the order of  $P$ . The deficiency of  $D$  is at most  $\beta_1(D; \mathbb{F}_p) - \beta_2(D; \mathbb{F}_p) = -\beta_2(H; \mathbb{F}_p)$ ,

and so  $\text{def}(D) \leq 0$ . Therefore  $\text{def}(\Gamma) \leq 0$ , by the observation in the first paragraph of this proof.  $\square$

#### 5. HOMOMORPHISMS OF NONZERO DEGREE

page 339, line 21, and Corollary 3: delete “(5,4c)”.

In Lemma 5 the reference [19] should perhaps be to Stallings [St65] instead.

In the paragraph before Lemma 6. I think this is OK only if there are no reflector curves. However I think Theorem 2 remains OK – check this! make a separate argument for pure reflector curves: if  $F(r)$  surjects onto  $\sigma$  and  $2 - 2g \leq 1 - r$  get a contradiction.

[Killing all corner points and reflectors leaves a bounded surface.]

#### 6. CENTRALIZERS AND NORMALIZERS . . .

Lemma 6 is just Corollary 8.6 of [Bieri]!

#### 7. PRO- $p$ LINK GROUPS . . . (WITH D. MATEI AND M. MORISHITA)

The proof of Theorem 1.2.1 asserting that link groups are good (my contribution) is wrong. There is an implicit assumption that  $H^2(C) \rightarrow H^2(G)$  is injective (i.e.  $d_2^{0,1} = 0$ ) in the argument for  $d_3^{2,2} \gamma_3^{0,2} = \gamma_3^{2,1} d_3^{0,2}$ . In fact  $d_2^{0,1}$  is surjective. (The result has since been proven for the groups of “ $p$ -primitive” links, by Blomer, Linnell and Schick [BLS08].)

#### 8. STRONGLY MINIMAL $PD_4$ -COMPLEXES

Theorem 4 is wrong. It asserted that if  $Z$  is a strongly minimal  $PD_4$ -complex, such that  $\pi = \pi_1(Z)$  has one end,  $v.c.d.\pi = 2$  and  $E^2\mathbb{Z}$  is free abelian and  $\pi$  has nontrivial torsion then  $\pi \cong \kappa \rtimes (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ , where  $\kappa$  is a  $PD_2$ -group.

However, if  $N$  is a connected sum of two lens spaces (other than  $RP^3 = L(2, 1)$ ) then  $Z = S^1 \times N$  is a counterexample. (The mistake was in assuming that  $\pi$  acts  $\pi$ -linearly on  $\Pi = \pi_2(Z)$ .) This invalidates the proof of the Corollary, but the theorem is not used elsewhere in this paper.

(This paper has been absorbed into  *$PD_4$ -complexes and 2-dimensional duality groups* [PD4].)

#### 9. GEOMETRIC DECOMPOSITIONS OF 4-DIMENSIONAL ORBIFOLD BUNDLES

Theorem 7 is wrong. In fact the example at end of §3 is a counter-example to this theorem! The error seems to flow from the fifth sentence of the proof:

“Projection onto the second factor induces an orbifold bundle  $p_N : N \rightarrow B$  with general fibre a closed surface and monodromy of finite order.”

In fact the general fibre is a quotient of  $F$ , and so has a boundary.

#### 10. INDECOMPOSABLE $PD_3$ -COMPLEXES

In the first paragraph of the Introduction, the Sphere theorem and Crisp’s Theorem each assume the 3-manifold or  $PD_3$ -complex to be orientable.

In Theorem 3.1, define  $DC_*$  by  $DC_q = \overline{\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(C_{3-q}, \mathbb{Z}[\pi])}$ , for all  $q$ .

The second half of the account of part of Turaev's Realization Theorem in Theorem 3.2 is badly garbled. In particular,  $\chi(L \cup_f e^3) = 0$  only if  $\chi(K) = 1$ . Fortunately, the constructive aspects of the paper involve groups with balanced presentations, and use Theorem 3.1 directly. (I think I had had this application in mind when attempting to simplify Turaev's account!) Thus the main results are unaffected.

This section should be replaced by the following two paragraphs, which repeat Turaev's construction, but differ slightly in the verification that it works.

Conversely, let  $K$  be the finite 2-complex associated to a presentation for  $\pi$ , and let  $M$  be the corresponding Fox-Lyndon presentation matrix for  $I_\pi$ . Suppose first that  $J_\pi \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\pi]^m \cong I_\pi \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\pi]^n$ . Let  $L = K \vee mS^2$ . Then  $\pi_1(L) \cong \pi_1(K)$  and  $\text{Cok}(\partial_2^L) \cong I_\pi \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\pi]^n$ . Let  $C_* = C_*(\tilde{L})$  and let  $\alpha : DC_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\pi]$  be the composite of the projection onto  $J_\pi \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\pi]^m$ , the isomorphism with  $I_\pi \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\pi]^n$ , and the inclusion into  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]^{n+1}$ . Then  $\bar{\alpha}^{tr} : \mathbb{Z}[\pi]^{n+1} \rightarrow C_2$  has image in  $\pi_2(L) = H_2(C_*)$ . Let  $\{e_1, \dots, e_{n+1}\}$  be the standard basis of  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]^{n+1}$ , and let  $f_i$  be a map in the homotopy class of  $\bar{\alpha}^{tr}(e_i)$ , for  $i \leq n+1$ . Let  $X$  be the 3-complex obtained by adjoining 3-cells to  $L$  along the  $n+1$  maps  $\{f_1, \dots, f_{n+1}\}$ .

The arguments of Theorem 3.1 apply to  $X$ , as we now show. Clearly  $H_0(C_*) = H_0(DC_*) = \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $\pi$  is finite then  $H^0(C_*) \cong H^0(DC_*) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  and  $H_1(C_*) = H_1(DC_*) = H^1(C^*) = H^1(DC^*) = 0$ . Hence  $\tilde{X} \simeq S^3$  and so  $X$  is a  $PD_3$ -complex. If  $\pi$  is infinite then  $H_1(C_*) = H_1(DC_*) = 0$ ,  $H^1(C^*) \cong H^1(DC^*) \cong e^1\mathbb{Z} = \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}^1(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}[\pi])$  and  $H^0(C^*) = H^0(DC^*) = 0$ , so  $H_3(C_*) = H_3(DC_*) = 0$ . Let  $x \in C_3$  represent a generator of  $H_3(X; \mathbb{Z}^w)$ . Then slant product with  $x$  induces a chain homomorphism  $\theta$  from  $DC_*$  to  $C_*$  which induces isomorphisms in degrees 0 and 1. Hence it induces isomorphisms  $H^1(C^*) \cong H^1(DC^*)$ , and so  $H_2(\theta) : H_2(DC_*) = H^1(C^*) \rightarrow H_2(C_*) = H^1(DC^*)$  is an isomorphism. Since  $H_i(\theta)$  is an isomorphism for all  $i$  and  $C_*$  and  $DC_*$  are projective chain complexes,  $\theta$  is a chain homotopy equivalence. Hence  $X$  is a finite  $PD_3$ -complex with fundamental group  $\pi$ .

My surname is misspelt on page 135, line -8; this was clearly an intervention by someone (with first language German?) expanding a reference to give the authors' names in the text, in line with the house style, and I overlooked this in reading final proofs.

In the proof of Theorem 5.2, the third sentence of the first paragraph should be "If 4 divides  $|G_e|$  then  $G_e$  has a central involution, which is also central in  $V = G_{o(e)}$  and  $W = G_{t(e)}$ , since these groups have cohomological period 4. (See the remarks preceding Lemma 2.1.)"

In the third paragraph,  $d$  should be  $k$ , say, as it is NOT the  $d$  of the statement, and the final sentence should be "Since the odd-order subgroup of  $G_e$  is central in  $W$  its normalizer in  $V$  must be abelian. Hence either  $k = 3$  and  $V = B \times Z/dZ$  with  $B = T_1^*$  or  $I^*$  and  $(d, |B|) = 1$  or  $k = 1$ , by Lemma 5.1."

Minor improvements have been made to the next two statements, to emphasize the hypothesis that  $\pi$  is virtually free.

**Lemma (7.3).** *Let  $X$  be an indecomposable  $PD_3$ -complex with  $\pi = \pi_1(X) \cong F(r) \rtimes G$ , where  $G$  is finite. If  $\pi$  has an orientation reversing element  $g$  of finite order then  $G$  has order  $2m$ , for some odd  $m$ , and so  $\pi$  has an orientation reversing involution.  $\square$*

In the first paragraph of the proof of Lemma 7.3 we may and should also reduce to the case when  $G$  is abelian, of order a multiple of 4. (See [PD3, Chapter 6].) The rest of the proof then goes through as written.

**Theorem (7.4).** *Let  $X$  be an indecomposable, non-orientable  $PD_3$ -complex with  $\pi = \pi_1(X)$  virtually free. If  $\pi$  has an orientation reversing involution then  $X \simeq S^1 \times RP^2$ .*  $\square$

As the statement of Theorem 7.4 now assumes that  $\pi$  is virtually free, the first sentence of the proof should be deleted. In the final sentence of the second paragraph of the proof, the vertex groups must all be  $D_{2p}$ , by the normalizer condition and Crisp's Theorem (and so  $\varepsilon = -1$ , later in the proof). In the presentation,  $a_i$  should be  $a$  (no subscript). The argument otherwise needs no change.

Corollary 7.5 only follows from Theorem 7.4 if  $\pi$  is virtually free. However it holds in general. (See [PD3, Chapter 7].) In fact, Crisp's result already implies that  $C_\pi(g) \cong \langle g \rangle \times \mathbb{Z}$  or  $\langle g \rangle \times D_\infty$ , since every element of the maximal finite normal subgroup of a group with two ends has infinite centralizer.)

Finally, some typos:

Abstract: " $\mathbb{Z}6\mathbb{Z}$ " should be " $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ ".

Theorem 3.2, second paragraph of proof: "ftthat" should be "that".

Statement of Theorem 5.2: " $\mathbb{Z}2\mathbb{Z}$ " should be " $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ ".

Second paragraph of §6:  $b_1$  should be  $b_n$  in the last relator of the presentation.

## 11. 2-KNOTS WITH SOLVABLE GROUPS

on page 989:

line 4: " $t = -(m+n)e$ " should be " $t = (m+n)e$ ".

line 15: delete "=" after " $Aut(\Gamma(e,1))$ ".

line 17: the final relation should be " $rk = kr$ ".

line 20: the final relations should be " $rc_u r = c_u^{-1}, rc_v r = c_v^{-1}$ ".

line -3 (first para of §12): " $(I_2 + \beta^{-1})$ " should be " $(I_2 + \beta)^{-1}$ ".

## 12. SEIFERT FIBRED KNOT MANIFOLDS

The internal referencing of results needs correction in numerous places.

page 7, line 21:  $\pi^{orb}\mathbb{D}(3, \bar{2})$  and  $\pi^{orb}\mathbb{D}(\bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{5})$  are finite.

page 8, line 13: "> 1" should be "> 2".

page 8, line -12: The groups  $\pi^{orb}\mathbb{D}(a, b)$  all have weight 1, since adjoining the relation  $v = xw$  kills the group. Thus the first open cases have presentations

$$\langle v, w, x, y \mid v^a = w^b = x^2 = (xy)^d = 1, yvw = vwx \rangle.$$

page 13, lines 6-7: the assertion on this line has not been proven.

page 13, line 12: add " and the homology sphere is  $S^4$ ".

Further on, " $\langle z \rangle$ " should be " $\langle y^{-1}z \rangle$ ".

At the end of the first sentence of §6,

" $S(2, 3, 4)$  or  $S(2, 3, 5)$ " should be " $S(2, 3, 4)$ ,  $S(2, 3, 5)$  or " $\mathbb{D}(3, \bar{2})$ ".

biblio: [Gabai]; the journal should be *J. Diff. Geometry*.

If  $w(G * \mathbb{Z}) > w(G)$  then we may exclude  $P(c_1, c_2, c_3)$ . For if  $G$  has presentation  $\langle v_1, v_2, v_3 \mid v_1^{c_1} = v_2^{c_2} = v_3^{c_3} = 1 \rangle$  then  $w(G) = 2$ . Since the group  $\pi$  with presentation  $\langle u, v_1, v_2, v_3 \mid v_i^{c_i} = 1, u^2 = v_1 v_2 v_3 \rangle$  is obtained from  $G * \mathbb{Z}$  by adjoining one relation,  $w(\pi) \geq w(G * \mathbb{Z}) - 1$ .

The hypothesis “ $w(G*\mathbb{Z}) > w(G)$ ” implies the Kervaire-Laudenbach Conjecture. However it is very plausible, and so there is some reason to feel that the possible non-orientable Seifert bases are known.

### 13. THE $\mathbb{F}_2$ -COHOMOLOGY RINGS OF $\text{Sol}^3$ -MANIFOLDS

page 199, line -4: “ $BU(M, \phi) = 2$  if and only if  $\phi^2 = 0$ ” should be “ $BU(N, \phi) = 2$  if and only if  $\phi^3 = 0$ ”.

### 14. COMPLEMENTS OF CONNECTED HYPERSURFACES IN $S^4$

In paragraph 3 of §3 it should be assumed that  $L$  is bipartedly *trivial*, rather than just bipartedly slice.

In the statement of Theorem 7.1, the condition “If  $\pi_1(X)$  is abelian” should be imposed in the second sentence, rather than in the final sentence.

page 14, line -2: in Lemma 8.1 “ $H^*(\hat{B}; \mathbb{Q})$  maps onto  $H^*(M; \mathbb{Q})$ ” should be “ $H^1(\hat{B}; \mathbb{Q})$  maps onto  $H^1(\hat{M}; \mathbb{Q})$ ”.

The reference to Kawauchi [16] in the paragraph following Lemma 9.2 should be replaced by a reference to Conway-Powell, “Embedded surfaces with infinite cyclic knot group” [CP21]. (Note, however, that this paper assumes that  $g > 2$ .)

On the final page, the two sentences relating to the circle bundles over the Klein bottle ( $M(-2; (1, 0))$  and  $M(-2; (1, 4))$ ) should be deleted, as they were based on a misreading of Kreck’s paper.

biblio: [5] Doig and Horn; appeared in TAMS 369 (2017), 1185–1203.

### 15. SOLVABLE NORMAL SUBGROUPS OF 2-KNOT GROUPS

The argument for the key Lemma 3.2 now seems inadequate. There is a near counter-example; it fails for  $G = A \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $A$  is the elementary abelian group of exponent  $p$  on generators  $a_n$ , and  $\mathbb{Z}$  acts by translating the indices. (This group is not  $FP_2$ .) The lemma remains true when  $T$  is an increasing union of finite normal subgroups, but this is only enough to establish the result on centres: either  $\pi'$  is finite (of even order) or  $\zeta\pi$  is torsion-free. Thus most of the results of the first half of the paper are moot. (However I have no counter example to these results.) The result on centres is OK.

(The main result holds if the solvable knot group is almost coherent or if it has an abelian normal subgroup of rank  $> 0$ , in particular, if it is torsion-free [FMGK, Chapter 15].)

### 16. DEFICIENCY AND COMMENSURATORS

page 514, line 3: the sentence should read “the kernel of a homomorphism between finitely generated free  $R$ -modules. Hence ...  $R$  is a noetherian UFD.”

page 521, line 8: “ $r_k(\beta)$ ” should be “ $r_j(\beta)$ ”.

page 522: the group with presentation

$$\langle t, x \mid t^4 x t^{-4} = t^2 x^2 t^{-1} x^{-1} t^{-1} x^{-1}, x t^2 x t^{-2} = t^2 x t^{-2} x \rangle$$

has Hirsch length 5 and deficiency 0. Thus it is a counterexample to the estimate  $def(G) \leq 2 - \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor$  suggested for poly- $Z$  groups of Hirsch length  $n$ . Does this bound hold for torsion-free nilpotent groups?

17.  $Sol^3 \times E^1$ -MANIFOLDS

page 47, line 7: “ $\pi_1^{orb}(B)$ ” should be “ $\beta = \pi_1^{orb}(B)$ ”.

18. 3-MANIFOLDS WITH ABELIAN EMBEDDINGS IN  $S^4$ 

page 7, line -7: in the enunciation of Lemma 9, “embedding  $j$ ” should be just “embedding”.

page 17, line -5: the exponents should be  $\chi(W) + n$  and  $\chi(V) + n$ , for some  $n \gg 0$ .

19. 3-MANIFOLDS WITH NILPOTENT EMBEDDINGS IN  $S^4$ 

page 2, lines -6 to -4: “The argument . . .” relates to “ $c.d.G \leq 2$ ”, rather than to “ $g.d.G \leq 2$ ”, and so should be rephrased.

page 5, line -1: add “(if  $m = 1$ ) and  $\beta = 2$  (if  $m \neq 1$ )” to the caption to Figure 1.

## REFERENCES

- [Bieri] Bieri, R. *Homological Dimensions of Groups*, QMC Lecture Notes (1976).
- [BLS08] Blomer, I., Linnell, P. A. and Schick, T. Galois cohomology of completed link groups, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 136 (2008), 3449–3459.
- [CP21] Conway, A. and Powell, M. Embedded surfaces with infinite cyclic knot group, arXiv: 2009.13461 [math.GT],
- [ACGM] Hillman, J. A. *The Algebraic Characterization of Geometric 4-Manifolds*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes Series 198, Cambridge University Press (1994).
- [2KG] Hillman, J. A. *2-Knots and their Groups*, Australian Mathematical Society Lecture Series 5, Cambridge University Press (1989).
- [FMGK] Hillman, J. A. *Four-Manifolds, Geometries and Knots*, Geometry and Topology Monographs, vol. 5, Geometry and Topology Publications (2002 – revised 2007, 2022).
- [PD3] Hillman, J. A. *Poincaré Duality in Dimension Three*,
- [PD4] Hillman, J. A.  $PD_4$ -complexes and 2-dimensional duality groups, 2019-20 MATRIX Annals (2020), 57–109.
- [St65] Stallings, J. Homology and central series of groups, J. Algebra 2 (1965), 170–181.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF SYDNEY, NSW 2006, AUSTRALIA  
*Email address:* jonathan.hillman@sydney.edu.au