

# REALIZATION OF HOMOTOPY INVARIANTS BY $PD^3$ -PAIRS

BEATRICE BLEILE

*In Remembrance of 11th September, 1973*

ABSTRACT. Up to oriented homotopy equivalence, a  $PD^3$ -pair  $(X, \partial X)$  with aspherical boundary components is uniquely determined by the  $\Pi_1$ -system  $\{\kappa_i : \Pi_1(\partial X_i, *) \rightarrow \Pi_1(X, *)\}_{i \in J}$ , the orientation character  $\omega_X \in H^1(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  and the image of the fundamental class  $[X, \partial X] \in H_3(X, \partial X; \mathbb{Z}^\omega)$  under the classifying map [3]. We call the triple  $(\{\kappa_i\}_{i \in J}, \omega_X, [X, \partial X])$  the fundamental triple of the  $PD^3$ -pair  $(X, \partial X)$ .

Using Peter Hilton's homotopy theory of modules, Turaev [12] gave a condition for realization in the absolute case of  $PD^3$ -complexes  $X$  with  $\partial X = \emptyset$ . Given a finitely presentable group  $G$  and  $\omega \in H^1(G; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ , he defined a homomorphism

$$\nu : H_3(G; \mathbb{Z}^\omega) \longrightarrow [F, I]$$

where  $F$  is some  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module,  $I = \ker \text{aug}$  and  $[A, B]$  denotes the group of homotopy classes of  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -morphisms from the  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module  $A$  to the  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module  $B$ . Turaev showed that, given  $\mu \in H_3(G; \mathbb{Z}^\omega)$ , the triple  $(G, \omega, \mu)$  is realized by a  $PD^3$ -complex  $X$  if and only if  $\nu(\mu)$  is a class of homotopy equivalences of  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -modules.

Using Turaev's construction of the homomorphism  $\nu$ , we generalize the condition for realization to the case of  $PD^3$ -pairs  $(X, \partial X)$ , where  $\partial X$  is not necessarily empty.

## 1. OUTLINE

Section 2 is concerned with notation and the existence of Eilenberg–Mac Lane pairs.

Section 3 discusses properties of the relative twisted cap product needed for the formulation of the realization condition and the proof of sufficiency in the  $\Pi_1$ -injective case.

In Section 4 we briefly revise the projective homotopy category of modules over a ring, also called the stable category. The final theorem of this section plays a crucial rôle in the construction of a  $PD^3$ -pair from given invariants.

The realization condition is formulated in Section 5 and Section 6 contains the proof of the realization theorem for the  $\Pi_1$ -injective case.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $G$  be a group, let  $\Lambda := \mathbb{Z}[G]$  be the integral group ring of  $G$  and let  $\text{aug} : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  denote the augmentation homomorphism determined by  $\text{aug}(g) := 1$  for all  $g \in G$ . The kernel  $I$  of the augmentation homomorphism is called the augmentation ideal.

---

*Date:* 11th September, 2003.

*Key words and phrases.*  $PD^3$ -pairs.

Furthermore, take  $\omega \in H^1(G, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ . Since  $H^1(G, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  is naturally isomorphic to  $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ , the cohomology class  $\omega$  determines a homomorphism from  $G$  to the group  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = \{0, 1\}$ . This homomorphism, in turn, gives rise to the anti-isomorphism

$$\bar{\cdot} : \Lambda \longrightarrow \Lambda; \lambda \longmapsto \bar{\lambda}$$

determined by

$$\bar{g} := (-1)^{\omega(g)} g^{-1} \quad \text{for } g \in G.$$

We may associate a left  $\Lambda$ -module with every right  $\Lambda$ -module and vice versa by means of the anti-isomorphism  $\bar{\cdot}$ . Namely, given a right  $\Lambda$ -module  $A$  and a left  $\Lambda$ -module  $B$ , define a left action on the set underlying  $A$  and a right action on the set underlying  $B$  by

$$\lambda.a := a.\bar{\lambda} \quad \text{for } a \in A, \lambda \in \Lambda;$$

$$b.\lambda := \bar{\lambda}.b \quad \text{for } b \in B, \lambda \in \Lambda.$$

We denote the modules thus obtained by  ${}^\omega A$  and  $B^\omega$  respectively.

Given a short exact sequence  $Q \twoheadrightarrow P \twoheadrightarrow D$  of augmented chain complexes of left  $\Lambda$ -modules with compatible equivariant diagonals and a “twisting”  $\omega \in H^1(G, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ , the relative twisted cap products are defined at the chain level by

$$\begin{aligned} \cap : \text{Hom}_\Lambda(P, {}^\omega M)_{-k} \otimes (\mathbb{Z}^\omega \otimes_\Lambda D)_n &\rightarrow (M \otimes_\Lambda D)_{n-k} \\ \varphi \cap (z \otimes d) &:= \varphi / (z \otimes \Delta_{\text{rel}}(d)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \cap : \text{Hom}_\Lambda(D, {}^\omega M)_{-k} \otimes (\mathbb{Z}^\omega \otimes_\Lambda D)_n &\rightarrow (M \otimes_\Lambda P)_{n-k} \\ \varphi \cap (z \otimes d) &:= \varphi / (z \otimes \Delta'_{\text{rel}}(d)). \end{aligned}$$

for any right  $\Lambda$ -module  $M$  [3]. Passing to homology we obtain the relative twisted cap products

$$\cap : H^k(P, {}^\omega M) \otimes H_n(D, \mathbb{Z}^\omega) \rightarrow H_{n-k}(D, M)$$

and

$$\cap : H^k(D, {}^\omega M) \otimes H_n(D, \mathbb{Z}^\omega) \rightarrow H_{n-k}(P, M).$$

Now let  $\{\kappa_i : G_i \rightarrow G\}_{i \in J}$  be a family of group homomorphisms and let  $(X, Y)$  be a pair of CW-complexes with  $\Pi_1$ -system  $\{\kappa_i : G_i \rightarrow G\}_{i \in J}$ . Put  $\Lambda := \mathbb{Z}[G]$  and let  $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  be the universal covering of  $X$ . Let  $C(X)$  denote the cellular chain complex of  $\tilde{X}$  viewed as a complex of  $\Lambda$ -modules. We denote the subcomplex of  $C(X)$  generated by the cells lying above  $Y$  by  $C(Y)$  and put  $C(X, Y) := C(X)/C(Y)$ , so that  $C(Y) \twoheadrightarrow C(X) \twoheadrightarrow C(X, Y)$  is a short exact sequence of left  $\Lambda$ -modules. We call  $C(X, Y)$  the relative cellular complex and  $C(Y) \twoheadrightarrow C(X) \twoheadrightarrow C(X, Y)$  the short exact sequence of cellular chain complexes of the pair  $(X, Y)$ .

Given a family  $\{\kappa_i : G_i \rightarrow G\}_{i \in J}$  of group homomorphisms we may ask whether there is a pair  $(X, Y)$  which has  $\Pi_1$ -system  $\{\kappa_i : G_i \rightarrow G\}_{i \in J}$ . The answer is yes, namely, for  $i \in J$  take  $K(G_i; 1)$  complexes  $Y_i$  and a  $K(G; 1)$  complex  $X$ . Then the family  $\kappa_i : G_i \rightarrow G$  of homomorphisms determines a map  $f : \coprod_{i \in J} Y_i \rightarrow X$ . Let  $K$  be the mapping cylinder

of  $f$  and identify  $\coprod_{i \in J} Y_i$  with its image under the inclusion in  $K$ . Then  $(K, Y)$  is a pair with  $\Pi_1$ -system  $\{\kappa_i : G_i \rightarrow G\}_{i \in J}$ .

As we do not require the homomorphisms  $\kappa_i$  to be injective we will adopt the following non-standard definition for the purpose of this paper.

**Definition 2.1.** *Let  $\{\kappa_i : G_i \rightarrow G\}_{i \in J}$  be a family of group homomorphisms. An Eilenberg–Mac Lane pair of type  $K(\{\kappa_i : G_i \rightarrow G\}_{i \in J}; 1)$  is a pair  $(X, Y)$  such that  $X$  is an Eilenberg–Mac Lane complex of type  $K(G; 1)$ , the connected components  $\{Y_i\}_{i \in J}$  of  $Y$  are Eilenberg–Mac Lane complexes of type  $K(G_i; 1)$  and the  $\Pi_1$ -system of  $(X, Y)$  is isomorphic to  $\{\kappa_i : G_i \rightarrow G\}_{i \in J}$ .*

In the standard definition of Eilenberg–Mac Lane pairs given by Bieri–Eckmann in [1] the homomorphisms  $\kappa_i$  are required to be injective.

An Eilenberg–Mac Lane pair of type  $(G, \{G_i\}_{i \in J}; 1)$  is determined up to homotopy of pairs and we write  $K(G, \{G_i\}_{i \in J}; 1)$  for any such pair. With this definition we proved the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $\{\kappa_i : G_i \rightarrow G\}_{i \in J}$  be a family of group homomorphisms. Then there is an Eilenberg–Mac Lane pair  $(X, Y)$  of type  $(G, \{G_i\}_{i \in J}; 1)$ .*

### 3. PROPERTIES OF THE RELATIVE TWISTED CAP PRODUCTS

First note that, given a  $\Lambda$ -bimodule  $M$ , there is a left action of  $\Lambda$  on  $M \otimes_\Lambda B$  and a right action of  $\Lambda$  on  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(B, M)$  for any left  $\Lambda$ -module  $B$  defined by

$$\lambda.(m \otimes b) := (\lambda.m) \otimes b \quad \text{and} \quad (\varphi.\lambda)(b) := \varphi(b).\lambda$$

for  $\lambda \in \Lambda, b \in B, m \in M$  and  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(B, M)$ . In particular,  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(B, \Lambda)$  is a right  $\Lambda$ -module. Thus any left  $\Lambda$ -module  $A$  gives rise to the functor  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda({}^\omega\text{Hom}_\Lambda(-, \Lambda), A)$  from the category  ${}_\Lambda\mathcal{M}$  of left  $\Lambda$ -modules to the category  $\mathcal{A}b$  of abelian groups. This is related to the functor  $A {}^\omega\otimes_\Lambda -$ , by the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** *There is a natural transformation*

$$\eta_B : A {}^\omega\otimes_\Lambda B \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda({}^\omega\text{Hom}_\Lambda(B, \Lambda), A)$$

given by

$$\eta_B(a \otimes b) : {}^\omega\text{Hom}_\Lambda(B, \Lambda) \longrightarrow A, \quad \varphi \longmapsto \overline{\varphi(b)}a$$

for every left  $\Lambda$ -module  $B$ .

**Observation 3.2.** When we restrict the functors  $A {}^\omega\otimes_\Lambda -$  and  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda({}^\omega\text{Hom}_\Lambda(-, \Lambda), A)$  to the category of free left  $\Lambda$ -modules, the natural transformation  $\eta$  becomes a natural equivalence as both  $A {}^\omega\otimes_\Lambda \Lambda^n$  and  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda({}^\omega\text{Hom}_\Lambda(\Lambda^n, \Lambda), A)$  are isomorphic to  $A^n$  as abelian groups.

If  $M$  is a  $\Lambda$ -bimodule, then so is  ${}^\omega M$ . Hence  ${}^\omega M {}^\omega\otimes_\Lambda B$  carries a left  $\Lambda$ -module structure and  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(B, M)$  carries a right  $\Lambda$ -module structure for every left  $\Lambda$ -module  $B$ . Thus  ${}^\omega M {}^\omega\otimes_\Lambda -$  and  ${}^\omega\text{Hom}_\Lambda({}^\omega\text{Hom}_\Lambda(-, \Lambda), M)$  are functors from the category of left  $\Lambda$ -modules to itself.

**Observation 3.3.** The natural transformation  $\eta$  of Lemma 3.1 respects the additional left  $\Lambda$ -module structure when  $A = M$  is a  $\Lambda$ -bimodule. In other words, given a  $\Lambda$ -bimodule  $M$ , the natural transformation  $\eta$  is in fact a natural transformation from  ${}^\omega M^{\omega \otimes \Lambda} -$  to  ${}^\omega \text{Hom}_\Lambda({}^\omega \text{Hom}_\Lambda(-, \Lambda), M)$  as functors from the category of left  $\Lambda$ -modules to itself. In particular, for  $M = \Lambda$ , we may identify the left  $\Lambda$ -module  $B$  with  ${}^\omega \Lambda^{\omega \otimes \Lambda} B$  by means of the isomorphism  ${}^\omega \Lambda^{\omega \otimes \Lambda} B \rightarrow B, \lambda \otimes b \mapsto \bar{\lambda}b$ . Then  $\eta$  is the evaluation homomorphism from  $B$  to its double dual  ${}^\omega \text{Hom}_\Lambda({}^\omega \text{Hom}_\Lambda(-, \Lambda), \Lambda)$ .

The next lemma shows that the chain map given by taking the cap product with a cycle is almost chain homotopic to its dual. To be more precise, there is a diagram involving this chain map and its dual which commutes up to chain homotopy.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $1 \otimes x \in \mathbb{Z}^{\omega \otimes \Lambda} D_n$  be a cycle. Then the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}^\omega \text{Hom}_\Lambda(D_k, \Lambda) & \xrightarrow{\theta} & {}^\omega \text{Hom}_\Lambda({}^\omega \Lambda^{\omega \otimes \Lambda} D_k, \Lambda) \\ \cap 1 \otimes x \downarrow & & \downarrow (\cap 1 \otimes x)^* \\ {}^\omega \Lambda^{\omega \otimes \Lambda} P_{n-k} & \xrightarrow{\eta_{P_{n-k}}} & {}^\omega \text{Hom}_\Lambda({}^\omega \text{Hom}_\Lambda(P_{n-k}, \Lambda), \Lambda) \end{array}$$

*commutes up to chain homotopy, where  $\eta$  is the natural equivalence of Observation 3.2 and the isomorphism  $\theta$  is given by  $\theta(\varphi)(\lambda \otimes d) := \bar{\lambda} \varphi(d)$  for  $\varphi \in {}^\omega \text{Hom}_\Lambda(D_k, \Lambda), d \in D_k$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $x = \pi(y)$  and  $\Delta(y) = \sum y_i \otimes y'_j$ . Take  $\varphi \in {}^\omega \text{Hom}_\Lambda(D_k, \Lambda)$  and  $\psi \in {}^\omega \text{Hom}_\Lambda(P_{n-k}, \Lambda)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} ((\cap 1 \otimes x)^*(\theta(\varphi)))(\psi) &= \theta(\varphi)(\psi \cap 1 \otimes x) \\ &= \theta(\varphi)(\psi(y_{n-k}) \otimes \pi(y'_k)) \\ &= \overline{\psi(y_{n-k})} \varphi(\pi(y'_k)) \\ &= \eta(\varphi(\pi(y'_k)) \otimes y_{n-k})(\psi) \\ &= \eta(/(\text{id} \otimes \text{id} \otimes ((\pi \otimes \text{id}) \circ T \circ \Delta))(\varphi \otimes 1 \otimes x))(\psi) \end{aligned}$$

where  $T : P \otimes P \rightarrow P \otimes P$  is defined by  $T(\sum_{i+j=n} y_i \otimes y'_j) = \sum_{i+j=n} y'_j \otimes y_i$ . But  $T \circ \Delta$  is again a diagonal on  $P$  and hence (see [11], p.250) chain homotopic to  $\Delta$ . As  $1 \otimes x$  is a cycle, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\cap 1 \otimes x)^* \circ \theta &= \eta \circ (/ \circ (\text{id} \otimes \text{id} \otimes ((\pi \otimes \text{id}) \circ T \circ \Delta))) (-\otimes 1 \otimes x) \\ &\simeq \eta \circ (/ \circ (\text{id} \otimes \text{id} \otimes ((\pi \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta))) (-\otimes 1 \otimes x) \\ &\simeq \eta \circ (\cap 1 \otimes x). \end{aligned}$$

□

Suppose that  $Q \xrightarrow{\iota} P \xrightarrow{\pi} D$  is a short exact sequence of augmented chain complexes of free  $\Lambda$ -modules with compatible diagonals. Then  $Q \xrightarrow{\iota} P \xrightarrow{\pi} D$  splits and stays split short exact when we tensor or apply the  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda$ -functor. Given a right  $\Lambda$ -module  $M$ ,

we denote the connecting homomorphisms of  $\mathbb{Z}^\omega \otimes_\Lambda Q \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^\omega \otimes_\Lambda P \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^\omega \otimes_\Lambda D$ ,  $M \otimes_\Lambda Q \rightarrow M \otimes_\Lambda P \rightarrow M \otimes_\Lambda D$  and  ${}^\omega\text{Hom}_\Lambda(D, {}^\omega M) \rightarrow {}^\omega\text{Hom}_\Lambda(P, {}^\omega M) \rightarrow {}^\omega\text{Hom}_\Lambda(Q, {}^\omega M)$  by  $\delta_*$ ,  $\delta'_*$  and  $\delta^*$  respectively.

**Proposition 3.5.** *Take  $x \in H^k(D, {}^\omega M)$ ,  $y \in H_n(D, \mathbb{Z}^\omega)$ ,  $z \in H^l(P, {}^\omega M)$  and  $u \in H^{k-1}(Q, {}^\omega M)$ . Then*

- (i)  $(\text{id} \otimes \pi)_*(x \cap y) = (\pi^*x) \cap y$ ;
- (ii)  $\delta'_*(z \cap y) = (\iota^*z) \cap \delta_*y$ ;
- (iii)  $(\text{id} \otimes \iota)_*(u \cap \delta_*y) = (-1)^k(\delta^*u) \cap y$ .

*Proof.* (i) Take a cocycle  $\varphi \in {}^\omega\text{Hom}_\Lambda(D_k, {}^\omega M)$  and a cycle  $n \otimes d \in \mathbb{Z}^\omega \otimes_\Lambda D_n$  representing  $x$  and  $y$  respectively. Furthermore take  $p \in P$  with  $n \otimes d = n \otimes \pi(p)$  and suppose  $\Delta(p) = \sum p_i \otimes p'_j$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{id} \otimes \pi)(\varphi \cap n \otimes d) &= (\text{id} \otimes \pi)(\varphi / n \otimes \Delta_{\text{rel}} d) = (\text{id} \otimes \pi)(\varphi / n \otimes \sum \pi(p_i) \otimes p'_j) \\ &= (\text{id} \otimes \pi)(n\varphi(\pi(p_k)) \otimes p'_{n-k}) = n\varphi(\pi(p_k)) \otimes \pi(p'_{n-k}) \\ &= \varphi \circ \pi / n \otimes \sum p_i \otimes \pi(p'_j) = \pi^*(\varphi) \cap n \otimes d. \end{aligned}$$

As  $(\text{id} \otimes \pi)(\varphi \cap n \otimes d)$  represents  $(\text{id} \otimes \pi)_*(x \cap y)$  and  $\pi^*(\varphi) \cap n \otimes d$  represents  $(\pi^*x) \cap y$ , we have thus proved (i).

(ii) Take a cocycle  $\varphi \in {}^\omega\text{Hom}_\Lambda(P_l, {}^\omega M)$  and a cycle  $n \otimes d \in \mathbb{Z}^\omega \otimes_\Lambda D_n$  representing  $z$  and  $y$  respectively. Furthermore take  $p \in P$  and  $q \in Q$  such that  $n \otimes d = n \otimes \pi(p)$  and  $n \otimes \partial p = n \otimes \iota(q)$  and suppose  $\Delta(q) = \sum q_i \otimes q'_j$ . Then  $\iota^*z \cap \delta_*y$  is represented by

$$\varphi \circ \iota / (n \otimes \Delta q) = \varphi \circ \iota / (n \otimes \sum q_i \otimes q'_j) = n\varphi(\iota(q_l)) \otimes q'_{n-l}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{id} \otimes \iota)(\varphi \circ \iota / (n \otimes \Delta q)) &= n\varphi(\iota(q_l)) \otimes \iota(q'_{n-l}) = \varphi / (n \otimes (\iota \otimes \iota) \Delta q) \\ &= \varphi / (n \otimes \Delta \iota(q)) = \varphi / (n \otimes \Delta \partial p) \\ &= \varphi / (n \otimes \partial \Delta p) = \partial(\varphi / (n \otimes \Delta p)) \end{aligned}$$

as  $\varphi$  is a cocycle. Furthermore  $z \cap y$  is represented by

$$\varphi / (n \otimes \Delta_{\text{rel}} d) = \varphi / (n \otimes (\text{id} \otimes \pi) \Delta p),$$

so that  $\delta'_*(z \cap y)$  is represented by  $n \otimes a$  where

$$(\text{id} \otimes \iota)(n \otimes a) = \partial(\varphi / (n \otimes \Delta p)).$$

As  $(\text{id} \otimes \iota)$  is a monomorphism we may conclude that  $\delta'_*(z \cap y) = \iota^*z \cap \delta_*y$ .

(iii) Take  $\varphi \in {}^\omega\text{Hom}_\Lambda(Q_{k-1}, {}^\omega M)$  and  $n \otimes d \in \mathbb{Z}^\omega \otimes_\Lambda D_n$  representing  $u$  and  $y$  respectively. Take  $\psi \in {}^\omega\text{Hom}_\Lambda(P_{k-1}, {}^\omega M)$  with  $\varphi = \iota^*\psi$  and  $\eta \in {}^\omega\text{Hom}_\Lambda(D_k, {}^\omega M)$  with  $\pi^*\eta = \partial^*\psi$ . Then  $\delta^*u$  is represented by  $\eta$ . Further, take  $p \in P_n$  with  $\pi p = d$  and  $q \in Q_{n-1}$  with  $\iota q = \partial p$ , so that  $\delta'_*y$  is represented by  $n \otimes q$ , and suppose  $\Delta p = \sum p_i \otimes p'_j$  and  $\Delta q = \sum q_i \otimes q'_j$ . Then

$(\text{id} \otimes \iota)_*(u \cap \delta_* y)$  is represented by

$$\begin{aligned}
(\text{id} \otimes \iota)(\varphi \cap n \otimes q) &= (\text{id} \otimes \iota)(\varphi/n \otimes \Delta q) = (\text{id} \otimes \iota)(\varphi/n \otimes \sum q_i \otimes q'_j) \\
&= (\text{id} \otimes \iota)(n\varphi(q_{k-1}) \otimes q'_{n-k-1}) = n\varphi(q_{k-1}) \otimes \iota(q'_{n-k-1}) \\
&= n\iota^* \psi(q_{k-1}) \otimes \iota(q'_{n-k-1}) = \psi/n \otimes (\iota \otimes \iota) \Delta q \\
&= \psi/n \otimes \Delta \iota(q) = \psi/n \otimes \Delta \partial p \\
&= \psi/n \otimes \partial \Delta p.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $/$  is a chain map, we obtain

$$\partial(\psi/n \otimes \Delta p) = (\partial^* \psi)/n \otimes \Delta p + (-1)^{k-1} \psi/n \otimes \partial \Delta p.$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial^* \psi/n \otimes \Delta p &= \pi^* \eta/n \otimes \Delta p = \pi^* \eta/n \otimes \sum p_i \otimes p'_j \\
&= n\eta(\pi(p_k)) \otimes p'_{n-k} = \eta/n \otimes \Delta'_{\text{rel}} d \\
&= \eta \cap n \otimes d,
\end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $\partial^* \psi/n \otimes \Delta p$  represents  $(\delta^* u) \cap y$ . As  $\partial(\psi/n \otimes \Delta p)$  is a boundary, we may conclude that

$$(\text{id} \otimes \iota)_*(u \cap \delta_* y) = (-1)^k (\delta^* u) \cap y.$$

□

Proposition 3.5 allows us to prove commutativity of a diagram, also called a cap product ladder, which involves long exact homology and co-homology sequences arising from  $Q \rightarrow P \rightarrow D$  and the cap product with a homology class  $y \in H_n(D; \mathbb{Z}^\omega)$ .

**Theorem 3.6** (Cap Product Ladder). *Let  $Q \xrightarrow{\iota} P \xrightarrow{\pi} D$  be a short exact sequence of augmented chain complexes of free  $\Lambda$ -modules with compatible diagonals. Then, given  $y \in H_n(D; \mathbb{Z}^\omega)$ , the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\cdots & \longrightarrow & H^r(D, \omega M) & \xrightarrow{\pi^*} & H^r(P, \omega M) & \xrightarrow{\iota^*} & H^r(Q, \omega M) & \xrightarrow{\delta^*} & H^{r+1}(D, \omega M) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
& & \downarrow \cap y & & \downarrow \cap y & & \downarrow \cap \delta_* y & & \downarrow \cap y & & \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & H_{n-r}(P, M) & \longrightarrow & H_{n-r}(D, M) & \longrightarrow & H_{n-r-1}(Q, M) & \longrightarrow & H_{n-r-1}(P, M) & \longrightarrow & \cdots
\end{array}$$

commutes, up to sign.

*Proof.* Given  $x \in H^r(D, \omega M)$ , Property (i) of Proposition 3.5 implies  $(\pi^* x) \cap y = (\text{id} \otimes \pi)_*(x \cap y)$ . For  $z \in H^r(P, \omega M)$  we have  $\iota^* z \cap \delta_* y = \delta'_*(z \cap y)$  by (ii). Finally, (iii) yields  $(\text{id} \otimes \iota)_*(u \cap \delta_* y) = (-1)^k (\delta^* u) \cap y$  for  $u \in H^r(Q, \omega M)$ . Hence the first two squares commute and the third commutes up to sign. □

## 4. PROJECTIVE HOMOTOPY THEORY OF MODULES

In this section  $\Lambda$  may be any ring with unit. Unless otherwise specified,  $A, B, \dots$  will denote left  $\Lambda$ -modules and  $\varphi, \psi, \dots$  will denote  $\Lambda$ -morphisms.

**Definition 4.1.** *The  $\Lambda$ -morphism  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  is nullhomotopic, written as  $\varphi \simeq 0$ , if there is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & B \\ & \searrow & \nearrow \\ & P & \end{array} \quad (1)$$

where  $P$  is a projective  $\Lambda$ -module.

As every projective  $\Lambda$ -module is a direct summand of a free  $\Lambda$ -module the existence of Diagram (1) is equivalent to the existence of a diagram of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & B \\ & \searrow & \nearrow \\ & \Lambda^m & \end{array}$$

If  $\varepsilon : PA \rightarrow A$  is an epimorphism and  $PA$  is projective then  $PA$  is called a path space over  $A$  (in analogy to topological homotopy theory). Since the category of left  $\Lambda$ -modules has enough projectives, every  $\Lambda$ -module  $A$  has a path space. It is not difficult to show that a  $\Lambda$ -morphism  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  is nullhomotopic if and only if it factors through a given path space  $\varepsilon : PB \rightarrow B$  of  $B$ , that is, if and only if there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & B \\ & \searrow & \nearrow \\ & PB & \end{array}$$

Thus, if  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  factors through one particular path space of  $B$ , it factors through any path space of  $B$ . Hence

$$\text{Nhom}_\Lambda(A, B) := \{ \varphi : A \rightarrow B \mid \varphi \simeq 0 \}$$

is a subgroup of  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(A, B)$ .

**Definition 4.2.** *Two  $\Lambda$ -morphisms  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are homotopic if  $\varphi - \psi \simeq 0$ . Furthermore the group*

$$[A, B] := \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A, B) / \text{Nhom}_\Lambda(A, B)$$

*of homotopy classes of  $\Lambda$ -morphisms is called the homotopy group from  $A$  to  $B$ .*

It is not difficult to show that homotopy respects composition of  $\Lambda$ -morphisms. Thus we obtain a category, called the projective homotopy category (PHOM) or the stable category whose objects are left  $\Lambda$ -modules and whose morphisms are homotopy classes of  $\Lambda$ -morphisms. Furthermore  $[A, B]$  is functorial in both variables and preserves direct products.

As in topological homotopy theory, we say that  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  is a homotopy equivalence if and only if there is a  $\Lambda$ -morphism  $\psi : B \rightarrow A$  such that  $\varphi\psi \simeq \text{id}_B$  and  $\psi\varphi \simeq \text{id}_A$ . If there is a homotopy equivalence  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  then  $A$  and  $B$  are said to be homotopy equivalent and we denote the set of homotopy equivalences from  $A$  to  $B$  by  $\text{Equi}(A, B)$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** *A  $\Lambda$ -module  $A$  is projective if and only if  $[X, A] = 0$  for every  $\Lambda$ -module  $X$ .*

*Proof.* We only need to show that  $[X, A] = 0$  for every  $\Lambda$ -module  $X$  implies that  $A$  is projective. So assume that  $[X, A] = 0$  for every  $\Lambda$ -module  $X$ . Then  $[A, A] = 0$  which implies  $\text{id}_A \simeq 0$ , that is,  $\text{id}_A$  factors through a path space  $PA \rightarrow A$  of  $A$ . Thus there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_A} & A \\ & \searrow \iota & \nearrow \pi \\ & PA & \end{array}$$

Now let  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  be a  $\Lambda$ -morphism and let  $\varepsilon : C \rightarrow B$  be an epimorphism. Since  $PA$  is projective there is a  $\Lambda$ -morphism  $\psi : PA \rightarrow C$  such that  $\varepsilon\psi = \varphi\pi$ . Hence  $\varepsilon\psi\iota = \varphi\pi\iota = \varphi$ , showing that  $A$  is projective.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & & & C \\ & & & \nearrow \psi & \downarrow \varepsilon \\ & & & \psi \nearrow & \\ PA & \xrightarrow{\pi} & A & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & B \\ & & & \nearrow \varphi \psi \iota & \end{array}$$

□

Given a path space  $\varepsilon : PB \rightarrow B$ , any  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  factors as

$$A \xrightarrow{\iota} A \oplus PB \xrightarrow{\varphi'} B,$$

where  $\varphi'$  is defined by  $\varphi'(a, p) = \varphi(a) + \varepsilon(p)$  for  $a \in A$  and  $p \in PB$ .

The statement as well as the proof of the following theorem are dual to Theorem 13.7 in [8] and its proof.

**Theorem 4.4.** *A homotopy equivalence  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  factors as*

$$A \xrightarrow{\iota} A \oplus P \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}} B \oplus Q \xrightarrow{\pi} B$$

where  $P$  and  $Q$  are projective and  $\iota$  and  $\pi$  are the natural inclusion and projection respectively.

*Proof.* First assume that  $\varphi$  is an epimorphism. Let  $\psi : B \rightarrow A$  be a homotopy inverse of  $\varphi$  and let  $\varepsilon : PA \rightarrow A$  be a path space of  $A$ . Then  $\varphi\varepsilon : PA \rightarrow B$  is a path space of  $B$  and hence  $\varphi\psi - \text{id}_B \simeq 0$  implies that there is a  $\Lambda$ -morphism  $\eta : B \rightarrow PA$  such that the

diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 PA & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} & A & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & B \\
 \eta \uparrow & & & \nearrow & \\
 B & & & \varphi\psi - \text{id}_B &
 \end{array}$$

commutes. Put  $\tilde{\psi} := \psi - \varepsilon\eta$ . Then  $\tilde{\psi} \simeq \psi$  and

$$\varphi\tilde{\psi} = \varphi(\psi - \varepsilon\eta) = \varphi\psi - \varphi\varepsilon\eta = \varphi\psi - \varphi\psi + \text{id}_B = \text{id}_B.$$

Hence  $\tilde{\psi}$  is a monomorphism and the short exact sequence

$$B \xrightarrow{\tilde{\psi}} A \xrightarrow{\pi'} \text{coker } \tilde{\psi}$$

splits so that  $A = \tilde{\psi}(B) \oplus Q$  where  $Q = \text{coker } \tilde{\psi}$ . In order to show that  $Q$  is projective it is enough to show that  $[X, Q] = 0$  for all  $X$ . So take any  $\Lambda$ -module  $X$ . Then

$$[X, B] \xrightarrow{\psi_*} [X, \tilde{\psi}(B) \oplus Q] \xrightarrow{\cong} [X, \tilde{\psi}(B)] \oplus [X, Q] \longrightarrow [X, Q]$$

is onto. But what does this homomorphism do to the homotopy class of a  $\Lambda$ -morphism  $\nu : X \rightarrow B$ ?

$$[\nu] \mapsto [\psi\nu] = [\tilde{\psi}\nu] \mapsto [\pi'\tilde{\psi}\nu] = 0.$$

Hence  $[X, Q] = 0$  showing that  $Q$  is projective.

Thus  $\varphi$  factors as

$$A = \tilde{\psi}(B) \oplus Q \xrightarrow{\cong} B \oplus Q \longrightarrow B.$$

Given an arbitrary homotopy equivalence  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  we obtain

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{\iota} & A \oplus PB & \xrightarrow{\varphi'} & B \\
 & & \searrow & & \nearrow \\
 & & B \oplus Q & &
 \end{array}$$

□

**Observation 4.5.** If the  $\Lambda$ -modules  $A$  and  $B$  in Theorem 4.4 are finitely generated, then the projective  $\Lambda$ -modules  $P$  and  $Q$  are also finitely generated. Thus there is a finitely generated projective  $\Lambda$ -module  $\tilde{P}$  such that  $P \oplus \tilde{P} \cong \Lambda^n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence  $\varphi$  factors as

$$A \longrightarrow A \oplus (P \oplus \tilde{P}) \longrightarrow B \oplus (Q \oplus \tilde{P}) \longrightarrow B$$

or

$$A \longrightarrow A \oplus \Lambda^n \longrightarrow B \oplus \tilde{Q} \longrightarrow B$$

where  $\tilde{Q} = Q \oplus \tilde{P}$  is finitely generated projective.

## 5. FORMULATION OF THE REALIZATION CONDITION

We have seen in [3] that, up to oriented homotopy equivalence, a  $PD^3$ -pair  $(X, \partial X)$  with aspherical boundary components is uniquely determined by the  $\Pi_1$ -system  $\{\kappa_i : \Pi_1(\partial X_i, *) \rightarrow \Pi_1(X, *)\}_{i \in J}$ , the orientation character  $\omega_X \in H^1(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  and the image of the fundamental class  $[X, \partial X] \in H_3(X, \partial X; \mathbb{Z}^\omega)$  under the classifying map

$$c : (X, \partial X) \longrightarrow K(\{\kappa_i\}_{i \in J}; 1).$$

In other words, the triple  $(\{\kappa_i\}_{i \in J}, \omega_X, c_*([X, \partial X]))$  forms a complete set of homotopy invariants for  $PD^3$ -pairs, also called the *fundamental triple* of  $(X, \partial X)$ . We say that  $(X, \partial X)$  *realizes*  $(\{\kappa_i\}_{i \in J}, \omega, \mu)$ .

**Question 5.1.** Given a  $\Pi_1$ -system  $\{\kappa_i : G_i \rightarrow G\}_{i \in J}$ ,  $\omega \in H^1(G; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  and a homology class  $\mu \in H_3(G, \{G_i\}_{i \in J}; \mathbb{Z}^\omega)$ , is there a  $PD^3$ -pair  $(X, \partial X)$  realizing  $(\{\kappa_i\}_{i \in J}, \omega, \mu)$ ?

Turaev [12] gave a condition for realization in the absolute case of  $PD^3$ -complexes  $X$  with  $\partial X = \emptyset$ . Given a finitely presentable group  $G$  and  $\omega \in H^1(G; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ , he defined a homomorphism

$$\nu : H_3(G; \mathbb{Z}^\omega) \longrightarrow [F, I]$$

where  $F$  is some  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module,  $I = \ker \text{aug}$  and  $[A, B]$  denotes the group of homotopy classes of  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -morphisms from the  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module  $A$  to the  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module  $B$ . Turaev showed that, given  $\mu \in H_3(G; \mathbb{Z}^\omega)$ , the triple  $(G, \omega, \mu)$  is realized by a  $PD^3$ -complex  $X$  if and only if  $\nu(\mu)$  is a class of homotopy equivalences of  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -modules.

Using Turaev's construction of the homomorphism  $\nu$ , we generalize the condition for realization to the case of  $PD^3$ -pairs  $(X, \partial X)$ , where  $\partial X$  is not necessarily empty.

First we introduce two functors from the category of left  $\Lambda$ -modules to itself, where  $\Lambda$  is the integral group ring of the group  $H$ .

We take  $\omega \in H^1(H, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  and use the notation of Chapter 1.

Given a chain complex  $\dots \rightarrow C_{r+1} \xrightarrow{\partial_r} C_r \rightarrow \dots$  of left  $\Lambda$ -modules, put

$$G_r(C) := \text{coker } \partial_r = C_r / \text{im } \partial_r.$$

If  $f : C \rightarrow D$  is a chain map then  $f_r(\text{im } \partial_r^C) \subseteq \text{im } \partial_r^D$ . Hence there is an induced  $\Lambda$ -morphism of cokernels  $G_r(f) : G_r(C) \rightarrow G_r(D)$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{im } \partial_r^C & \twoheadrightarrow & C_r & \twoheadrightarrow & G_r(C) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f_r & & \downarrow G_r(f) \\ \text{im } \partial_r^D & \twoheadrightarrow & D_r & \twoheadrightarrow & G_r(D) \end{array}$$

commutes. It is not difficult to check that  $G = G_*$  is a functor from the category of chain complexes of left  $\Lambda$ -modules to itself.

Following Turaev we write  $C^*$  for  ${}^\omega\text{Hom}_\Lambda(C, \Lambda)$  and compose the two functors  $G$  and  ${}^\omega\text{Hom}_\Lambda(-, \Lambda)$  to obtain the functor  $F$  (see [12] p.265) given by

$$F^r(C) = G_{-r}(C^*) = C^r / \text{im } \partial_{r-1}^*. \quad (2)$$

The following lemma allows us to pass from the category of chain complexes of left  $\Lambda$ -modules to the stable category, that is, the category of left  $\Lambda$ -modules and homotopy classes of  $\Lambda$ -morphisms.

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $f, g : C \rightarrow D$  be chain homotopic maps of chain complexes over  $\Lambda$ . If  $D_n$  is projective, then  $G_n(f) \simeq G_n(g)$  as  $\Lambda$ -morphisms.*

*Proof.* Let  $\chi$  be a chain homotopy from  $f$  to  $g$ . Observe that, for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the boundary operators  $\partial_{n-1}^C$  and  $\partial_{n-1}^D$  factor as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_n & \xrightarrow{\partial_{n-1}^C} & C_{n-1} \\ \sigma_{n-1}^C \downarrow & \nearrow \rho_{n-1}^C & \\ G_n(C) & & \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} D_n & \xrightarrow{\partial_{n-1}^D} & D_{n-1} \\ \sigma_{n-1}^D \downarrow & \nearrow \rho_{n-1}^D & \\ G_n(D) & & \end{array}$$

respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{n-1}^D(f_n - g_n) &= \sigma_{n-1}^D(\chi_{n-1}\partial_{n-1}^C + \partial_n^D\chi_n) \\ &= \sigma_{n-1}^D\chi_{n-1}\rho_{n-1}^C\sigma_{n-1}^C + \sigma_{n-1}^D\partial_n^D\chi_n \\ &= \sigma_{n-1}^D\chi_{n-1}\rho_{n-1}^C\sigma_{n-1}^C \end{aligned}$$

Thus the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{f_{n+1}-g_{n+1}} & & & D_{n+1} \\ \partial_n^C \downarrow & & & & \partial_n^D \downarrow \\ C_n & \xrightarrow{f_n-g_n} & & & D_n \\ \sigma_{n-1}^C \downarrow & & & & \sigma_{n-1}^D \downarrow \\ G_n(C) & \xrightarrow{\rho_{n-1}^C} C_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\chi_{n-1}} D_n & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{n-1}^D} & & G_n(D) \end{array}$$

commutes. As the induced map of cokernels is uniquely determined, this implies

$$G_n(f) - G_n(g) = G_n(f - g) = \sigma_{n-1}^D\chi_{n-1}\rho_{n-1}^C \simeq 0$$

as  $D_n$  is projective.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.3.** *Let  $f : C \rightarrow D$  be a homotopy equivalence of chain complexes over  $\Lambda$ . If  $C_n$  and  $D_n$  are projective, then  $G_n(f)$  is a homotopy equivalence of  $\Lambda$ -modules.*

Corollary 5.3 is crucial for the formulation of the condition for realization.

**Observation 5.4.** Lemma 5.2 shows that we may view  $G_n$  as a functor from the category of chain complexes of projective left  $\Lambda$ -modules and homotopy classes of chain maps to the stable category.

**Lemma 5.5.** *Let  $(X, Y)$  be a pair of CW-complexes with  $X$  connected and  $\omega \in H^1(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $H_n(X, Y; \mathbb{Z}^\omega) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  with generator  $[1 \otimes x]$ . Then there is a chain  $w_1 \in C_1(X)$  such that the  $\Lambda$ -morphism  $\cap 1 \otimes x : C^*(X, Y) \rightarrow {}^\omega\Lambda^\omega \otimes_\Lambda C(X) \cong C(X)$  is given by*

$$\varphi \cap 1 \otimes x = \overline{\varphi(x)} \cdot (1 + \partial_0 w_1)$$

for every cocycle  $\varphi \in C^*(X, Y)$ , where we identify  $\lambda \otimes c \in {}^\omega\Lambda^\omega \otimes_\Lambda C(X)$  with  $\bar{\lambda}.c \in C(X)$ .

*Proof.* Take  $y \in C_n(X)$  with  $\pi(y) = x$ , where  $\pi : C(X) \rightarrow C(X, \partial X)$  is the natural projection, and assume  $\Delta y = \sum y_i \otimes z_{n-i}$  with  $y_i, z_i \in C_i(X)$ . Then  $(\text{id} \otimes \varepsilon)\Delta(y) = y$  implies  $y_n \cdot \varepsilon(z_0) = y$ . As  $[1 \otimes x]$  is a generator,  $x$  and thus  $y$  are indivisible so that  $y = y_n$  and  $\varepsilon(z_0) = 1$  up to sign. As  $X$  is connected, we may assume  $C_0(X) = \Lambda$  and identify  $\text{im} \partial_1$  with  $I = \ker \varepsilon$ . Then  $\varepsilon(z_0) = 1$  implies  $z_0 = 1 + w_0$  where  $w_0 \in I$ , and hence  $z_0 = 1 + \partial_0 w_1$  for some  $w_1 \in C_1(X)$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi \cap 1 \otimes x &= \varphi / 1 \otimes (\pi \otimes \text{id}) \left( \sum y_i \otimes z_{n-i} \right) = \varphi(\pi(y_n)) \otimes z_0 \\ &= \overline{\varphi(\pi(y_n))} \cdot z_0 = \overline{\varphi(x)} \cdot (1 + \partial_0 w_1). \end{aligned}$$

□

Now let  $(X, \partial X)$  be a  $PD^3$ -pair and take a cycle  $1 \otimes x \in \mathbb{Z}^\omega \otimes_\Lambda C_3(X, \partial X)$  representing  $[X, \partial X]$ . Then

$$\cap 1 \otimes x : C^*(X, Y) \rightarrow {}^\omega\Lambda^\omega \otimes_\Lambda C(X) \cong C(X)$$

is a chain homotopy equivalence. As both  $C_2^*(X, Y)$  and  $C_1(X)$  are free and hence projective, Corollary 5.3 implies that

$$G_{-2}(\cap 1 \otimes x) : F^2(C(X, \partial X)) = G_{-2}(C^*(X, Y)) \rightarrow G_1(C(X))$$

is a homotopy equivalence of  $\Lambda$ -modules.

Since  $C(X)$  is the cellular chain complex of the universal covering space of  $X$ ,  $H_1(C(X)) = 0$  so that

$$G_1(C(X)) = C_1(X) / \text{im} \partial_1 = C_1(X) / \ker \partial_0 \cong \text{im} \partial_0 = \ker \text{aug} = I,$$

that is, there is an isomorphism

$$\vartheta : G_1(C(X)) \rightarrow I \quad \text{given by} \quad \vartheta([c]) := \partial_0(c).$$

Then  $\vartheta \circ G_{-2}(\cap 1 \otimes x)$  is also a homotopy equivalence of  $\Lambda$ -modules, and the fact that  $\cap 1 \otimes x$  is a chain map together with Lemma 5.5 yields

$$\begin{aligned} (\vartheta \circ G_{-2}(\cap 1 \otimes x))([\varphi]) &= \vartheta([\varphi \cap 1 \otimes x]) = \partial_0(\varphi \cap 1 \otimes x) \\ &= (\partial_2^* \varphi) \cap 1 \otimes x = \overline{(\partial_2^* \varphi)(x)} \cdot (1 + \partial_0 w_1) \\ &= \overline{(\partial_2^* \varphi)(x)} + \overline{(\partial_2^* \varphi)(x)} \partial_0 w_1 \end{aligned}$$

for  $\varphi \in C_2^*(X, \partial X)$  and some  $w_1 \in C_1(X)$ . Observe that the  $\Lambda$ -morphism

$$F^2(C(X, \partial X)) \longrightarrow I, \quad [\varphi] \longmapsto \overline{(\partial_2^* \varphi)(x)} \partial_0 w_1$$

is null-homotopic since it factors through the  $\Lambda$ -module  $C_1(X)$ , namely as

$$[\varphi] \longmapsto \overline{(\partial_2^* \varphi)(x)} w_1 \longmapsto \partial_0(\overline{(\partial_2^* \varphi)(x)} w_1) = \overline{(\partial_2^* \varphi)(x)} \partial_0 w_1.$$

Thus

$$F^2(C(X, \partial X)) \longrightarrow I, \quad [\varphi] \longmapsto \overline{(\partial_2^* \varphi)(x)} \tag{3}$$

is a homotopy equivalence of  $\Lambda$ -modules.

Now attach cells of dimension three and larger to  $(X, \partial X)$  in order to obtain an Eilenberg–Mac Lane pair  $(K, \partial X)$  of type  $K(\{\kappa_i : \Pi_1(\partial X_i, *) \rightarrow \Pi_1(X, *)\}_{i \in J}; 1)$ . Then the classifying map  $\iota : (X, \partial X) \rightarrow (K, \partial X)$  is cellular and we may identify the cellular chain complexes of the pair  $(X, \partial X)$  with their image under the chain map induced by  $\iota$ . In particular, we obtain  $C_i(K) = C_i(X)$ ,  $C_i(K, \partial X) = C_i(X, \partial X)$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2$  and  $[1 \otimes x] = [X, \partial X] = \iota_*([X, \partial X])$ . Thus (3) yields

**Lemma 5.6.** *The  $\Lambda$ -morphism*

$$F^2(C(K, \partial X)) \longrightarrow I, [\varphi] \longmapsto \overline{(\partial_2^* \varphi)(x)}. \quad (4)$$

is a homotopy equivalence of  $\Lambda$ -modules.

Given a chain complex  $C$  of free left  $\Lambda$ -modules, Turaev constructed a group homomorphism

$$\nu_{C,r} : H_{r+1}(\mathbb{Z}^\omega \otimes_\Lambda C) \longrightarrow [F^r, I]$$

such that  $\nu_{C(X, \partial X), 2}([1 \otimes x]) = \nu_{C(K, \partial X), 2}(\iota_*([X, \partial X]))$  is the homotopy class of the homotopy equivalence (4).

We revise Turaev’s construction and some of its properties. Given a chain complex  $C$  of free left  $\Lambda$ -modules, note that  $\bar{I}$  is the kernel of the  $\Lambda$ -morphism  $\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^\omega \otimes_\Lambda \Lambda$ ,  $\lambda \mapsto 1 \otimes \lambda$ , so that  $\bar{I} \hookrightarrow \Lambda \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^\omega \otimes_\Lambda \Lambda$  is short exact. As  $C$  is free, the sequence  $\bar{I}C \hookrightarrow C \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^\omega \otimes_\Lambda C$  of chain complexes is also short exact, yielding the connecting homomorphism

$$\delta : H_{r+1}(\mathbb{Z}^\omega \otimes_\Lambda C) \longrightarrow H_r(\bar{I}C). \quad (5)$$

Identifying  $c \in C_r$  with  $1 \otimes c \in \mathbb{Z}^\omega \otimes_\Lambda C$ , the natural equivalence  $\eta$  of Lemma 3.1 yields the  $\Lambda$ -morphism

$$\eta : C_r \longrightarrow (C_r^*)^*, c \longmapsto \eta(c)$$

given by

$$\eta(c)(\varphi) = \overline{\varphi(c)}.$$

For a cycle  $c \in C_r$  we obtain

$$\eta(c)(\partial_{r-1}^* \varphi) = \overline{(\partial_{r-1}^* \varphi)(c)} = \varphi(\partial_{r-1} c) = 0$$

for every  $\varphi \in C_{r-1}^*$ . Thus  $\eta(c)$  factors through the cokernel  $F^r(C)$  of  $\partial_{r-1}^*$ , that is, there is a  $\Lambda$ -morphism  $\eta(c)$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_r^* & \xrightarrow{\eta(c)} & \Lambda \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \eta(c) & \\ F^r(C) & & \end{array}$$

commutes. If  $c = \bar{\lambda}.d \in \bar{I}C$  is a cycle with  $\lambda \in I$  and  $d \in C_r$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{aug}(\eta(c)([\varphi])) &= \text{aug}(\overline{\varphi(c)}) = \text{aug}(\overline{\varphi(\bar{\lambda}.d)}) \\ &= \text{aug}(\overline{\varphi(d)}.\lambda) = \overline{\varphi(d)}\text{aug}(\lambda) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for every  $[\varphi] \in F^r(C)$ . Hence the image of  $\eta(\tilde{c})$  is contained in  $I$  and there is a well-defined  $\Lambda$ -morphism

$$\eta(\hat{c}) : F^r(C) \longrightarrow I, [\varphi] \longmapsto \overline{\varphi(\hat{c})}.$$

Given a boundary  $c = \partial_r(\bar{\mu}.e) \in \bar{I}C$  with  $\mu \in I$  and  $e \in C_{r+1}$ , the  $\Lambda$ -morphism  $\eta(\hat{c})$  is null-homotopic since it factors through  $\Lambda$ , namely as

$$F^r(C) \longrightarrow \Lambda \longrightarrow I$$

$$\lambda \longmapsto \bar{\mu}.\lambda.$$

Thus the homotopy class of  $\eta(\hat{c})$  depends on the homology class of the cycle  $c \in \bar{I}C$  only and the homomorphism

$$H(\bar{I}C) \longrightarrow [F^r(C), I], [c] \longmapsto [\eta(\hat{c})] \quad (6)$$

is well-defined. Composing (6) with the connecting homomorphism (5), Turaev obtains the homomorphism

$$\nu_{C,r} : H_{r+1}(\mathbb{Z}^\omega \otimes_\Lambda C) \longrightarrow [F^r(C), I] \quad (7)$$

given by

$$\nu_{C,r}([1 \otimes c]) := [\eta(\hat{c})].$$

**Lemma 5.7.** *Given  $[1 \otimes x] \in H_{r+1}(\mathbb{Z}^\omega \otimes_\Lambda C)$  and  $[\varphi] \in F^r(C)$ , the homotopy class  $\nu_{C,r}([1 \otimes x])$  is represented by the  $\Lambda$ -morphism*

$$F^r(C) \longrightarrow I, [\varphi] \longmapsto \overline{\varphi(\partial_r(x))}.$$

*Proof.* Take  $[1 \otimes x] \in H_{r+1}(\mathbb{Z}^\omega \otimes_\Lambda C)$  and  $[\varphi] \in F^r(C)$ . Then  $\delta([1 \otimes x]) = \partial_r x$  and  $\nu_{C,r}([1 \otimes x])$  is represented by

$$\eta(\hat{\partial}_r x) : F^r(C) \longrightarrow I, [\varphi] \longmapsto \overline{\varphi(\partial_r(x))}.$$

□

**Lemma 5.8.** *Let  $f : C \rightarrow D$  be a chain map of chain complexes of  $\Lambda$ -modules. Then the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F^r(D) & \xrightarrow{\nu_{D,r}(f_*\mu)} & I \\ F^r(f) \downarrow & & \parallel \\ F^r(C) & \xrightarrow{\nu_{C,r}(\mu)} & I \end{array}$$

*commutes for every  $\mu \in H_{r+1}(\mathbb{Z}^\omega \otimes_\Lambda C)$ .*

*Proof.* Take  $\mu \in H_{r+1}(\mathbb{Z}^\omega \otimes_\Lambda C)$  and  $x \in C_r$  with  $\mu = [1 \otimes x]$ . Then, for  $[\varphi] \in F^r(C)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{C,r}(\mu)(F^r(f)([\varphi])) &= \nu_{C,r}(\mu)([\varphi \circ f]) = \overline{\varphi \circ f(\partial_r(x))} \\ &= \overline{\varphi(\partial_r(f(x)))} = \nu_{D,r}(f_*\mu)([\varphi]). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 5.9.** *Suppose that  $C$  is a chain complex of free left  $\Lambda$ -modules such that  $C_r$  is finitely generated and  $H_r(C) = H_{r+1}(C) = 0$ . Then  $\nu_{C,r}$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Cf. [12], Lemma 2.5. □

We are now able to provide a necessary condition for a  $\Pi_1$ -system  $\{\kappa_i : G_i \rightarrow G\}_{i \in J}$ ,  $\omega \in H^1(G; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  and  $\mu \in H_3(G, \{G_i\}_{i \in J}; \mathbb{Z}^\omega)$  to be realized by a  $PD^3$ -pair  $(X, \partial X)$ .

**Theorem 5.10.** *Given a  $\Pi_1$ -system  $\{\kappa_i : G_i \rightarrow G\}_{i \in J}$ ,  $\omega \in H^1(G; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  and  $\mu \in H_3(G, \{G_i\}_{i \in J}; \mathbb{Z}^\omega)$ , let  $(K, \partial K)$  be an Eilenberg–Mac Lane pair of type  $K(\{\kappa_i\}_{i \in J}; 1)$ . If  $(\{\kappa_i\}_{i \in J}, \omega, \mu)$  is the fundamental triple of a  $PD^3$ -pair, then  $\nu_{C(K, \partial K), 2}(\mu)$  is a homotopy equivalence of  $\Lambda$ -modules.*

*Proof.* Take a  $\Pi_1$ -system  $\{\kappa_i : G_i \rightarrow G\}_{i \in J}$ ,  $\omega \in H^1(G; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $\mu \in H_3(G, \{G_i\}_{i \in J}; \mathbb{Z}^\omega)$  and suppose  $(\{\kappa_i\}_{i \in J}, \omega, \mu)$  is the fundamental triple of the  $PD^3$ -pair  $(X, \partial X)$ . Attaching cells of dimension three and larger to  $X$  we obtain an Eilenberg–Mac Lane pair  $(K, \partial X)$  of type  $K(\{\kappa_i\}_{i \in J}; 1)$ . Take  $1 \otimes x \in \mathbb{Z}^\omega \otimes_\Lambda C_3(X, \partial X) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^\omega \otimes_\Lambda C_3(K, \partial X)$  with  $[1 \otimes x] = \mu$ . Then

$$F^2(C(K, \partial X)) \longrightarrow I, [\varphi] \longmapsto \overline{\varphi(\partial_2(x))}$$

is a homotopy equivalence of  $\Lambda$ -modules by Lemma 5.6 and represents  $\nu_{C(K, \partial X), 2}(\mu)$  by Lemma 5.7.

It remains to show that  $\nu_{C(L, \partial L), 2}(\mu)$  is a homotopy equivalence of  $\Lambda$ -modules for any Eilenberg–Mac Lane pair  $(L, \partial L)$ . But given any Eilenberg–Mac Lane pair  $(L, \partial L)$  of type  $K(\{\kappa_i\}_{i \in J}; 1)$ , there is a homotopy equivalence  $f : (K, \partial X) \rightarrow (L, \partial L)$  of pairs of CW complexes inducing a chain homotopy equivalence  $g : C(K, \partial X) \rightarrow C(L, \partial L)$ . Hence  $g^* : C^*(K, \partial X) \rightarrow C^*(L, \partial L)$  is also a chain homotopy equivalence and Corollary 5.3 implies that  $F^2(g) = G_{-2}(g^*)$  is a homotopy equivalence of  $\Lambda$ -modules. By Lemma 5.8, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F^2(C(L, \partial L)) & \xrightarrow{\nu_{C(L, \partial L), 2}(f_*\mu)} & I \\ F^2(g) \downarrow & & \parallel \\ F^2(C(K, \partial K)) & \xrightarrow{\nu_{C(K, \partial K), 2}(\mu)} & I \end{array}$$

commutes and hence  $\nu_{C(L, \partial L), 2}(f_*\mu)$  is a homotopy equivalence of  $\Lambda$ -modules if and only if  $\nu_{C(K, \partial K), 2}(\mu)$  is one. □

In the final section of this paper we show that the necessary condition of Theorem 5.10 is sufficient in the  $\Pi_1$ -injective case.

## 6. THE $\Pi_1$ -INJECTIVE CASE

For  $\{\kappa_i : G_i \rightarrow G\}_{i \in J}$  to be the  $\Pi_1$ -system of a  $PD^3$ -pair  $(X, \partial X)$ , the groups  $G_i$  must be surface groups for all  $i \in J$  as the components of  $\partial X$  are  $PD^2$ -complexes by definition and thus homotopy equivalent to closed surfaces. Furthermore,  $G$  must be finitely presentable, as  $X$  must, by definition, be dominated by a finite CW complex. Now we restrict attention

to  $\Pi_1$ -systems  $\{\kappa_i : G_i \rightarrow G\}_{i \in J}$  which are  $\Pi_1$ -injective, that is,  $\kappa_i$  is injective for every  $i \in J$ .

So let  $\{\kappa_i : G_i \rightarrow G\}_{i \in J}$  be a  $\Pi_1$ -system such that  $G$  is finitely presentable,  $G_i$  is a surface group and  $\kappa_i$  is injective for every  $i \in J$ . Then there is an Eilenberg–Mac Lane pair  $(K, \partial X)$  of type  $K(\{\kappa_i\}_{i \in J}; 1)$  and by the mapping cylinder construction we may assume that the components  $\partial X_i$  of  $\partial X$  are all surfaces. Since  $G$  is finitely presentable, we may also assume that  $K$  has finite 2-skeleton  $K^{[2]}$ .

Take  $\omega \in H^1(K; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  and  $\mu \in H_3(K, \partial X; \mathbb{Z}^\omega)$  such that  $\nu_{C(K, \partial X), 2}(\mu)$  is a class of homotopy equivalences and  $\delta_* \mu = [\partial X]$  where  $[\partial X]$  is the fundamental class of the  $PD^2$ -complex  $\partial X$  and  $\delta_*$  is the connecting homomorphism of  $C(\partial X) \twoheadrightarrow C(K) \twoheadrightarrow C(K, \partial X)$ .

Following Turaev's construction in the absolute case, we now construct a  $PD^3$ -pair realizing  $(\{\kappa_i\}_{i \in J}, \omega, \mu)$ .

Since we have assumed that  $K$  has finite 2-skeleton  $K^{[2]}$ , the  $\Lambda$ -modules  $C_2(K, \partial X)$  and thus  $F^2(C(K, \partial X))$  are finitely generated. Let  $h : F^2(C(K, \partial X)) \rightarrow I$  be a  $\Lambda$ -morphism representing  $\nu_{C(K, \partial X), 2}(\mu)$ . Then  $h$  is a homotopy equivalence of  $\Lambda$ -modules and thus factors as

$$F^2(C(K, \partial X)) \twoheadrightarrow F^2(C(K, \partial X)) \oplus \Lambda^{\mathfrak{m}} \twoheadrightarrow I \oplus P \twoheadrightarrow I$$

where  $P$  is finitely generated and projective, by Theorem 4.4.

Let  $B = (e^0 \vee e^2) \cup e^3$  be a three dimensional ball. If we replace  $K$  by  $K \vee (\bigvee_{i=1}^m B)$ , then  $K^{[2]}$  is replaced by  $K^{[2]} \vee (\bigvee_{i=1}^m e^2)$  and  $F^2(C(K, \partial X))$  is replaced by  $F^2(C(K, \partial X)) \oplus \Lambda^m$ . Thus we may assume without loss of generality that  $h$  factors as

$$F^2(C(K, \partial X)) \xrightarrow{j} I \oplus P \twoheadrightarrow I \quad (8)$$

where  $P$  is finitely generated and projective.

First we consider the case where  $P$  is free, that is,  $P \cong \Lambda^n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\pi : C^2(K, \partial X) \rightarrow F^2(C(K, \partial X))$  and  $\iota : I \rightarrow \Lambda$  be the natural projection and inclusion respectively and use the natural equivalence  $\eta$  to identify  $(A^*)^*$  with  $A$  for a left  $\Lambda$ -module  $A$ . Consider the  $\Lambda$ -morphism

$$\varphi : C^2(K, \partial X) \xrightarrow{\pi} F^2(C(K, \partial X)) \xrightarrow{j} I \oplus P \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} \iota & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}} \Lambda \oplus P. \quad (9)$$

It follows from the definition of  $\varphi$  that  $\varphi \circ \partial_1^* = 0$ . Hence  $(\partial_1 \circ \varphi^*)^* = \varphi \circ \partial_1^* = 0$  so that  $\text{im} \varphi^* \subseteq \ker \partial_1$ .

Let  $p : \tilde{K} \rightarrow K$  be the universal covering. Since  $\kappa_i$  is injective for every  $i \in J$ , the components of  $p^{-1}(\partial X)$  are universal covering spaces of Eilenberg–Mac Lane complexes, so that  $H_2(p^{-1}(\partial X)) = H_1(p^{-1}(\partial X)) = 0$ . Thus the long exact homology sequence of the pair  $(p^{-1}(K^{[2]}), p^{-1}(\partial X))$  yields

$$H_2(p^{-1}(K^{[2]})) \cong H_2(p^{-1}(K^{[2]}), p^{-1}(\partial X)).$$

The Hurewicz Isomorphism Theorem implies  $\Pi_2(p^{-1}(K^{[2]})) \cong H_2(p^{-1}(K^{[2]}))$  and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{im } \varphi^* \subseteq \ker \partial_1 &= H_2(p^{-1}(K^{[2]}), p^{-1}(\partial X)) \\ &\cong H_2(p^{-1}(K^{[2]})) \\ &\cong \Pi_2(p^{-1}(K^{[2]})). \end{aligned}$$

We may thus attach  $(n + 1)$  three-dimensional cells to  $K^{[2]}$  to obtain a pair  $(X, \partial X)$  of CW-complexes whose relative cellular chain complex is given by

$$D : 0 \longrightarrow (\Lambda \oplus P)^* \xrightarrow{\varphi^*} C_2(K, \partial X) \longrightarrow C_1(K, \partial X) \longrightarrow C_0(K, \partial X).$$

As  $\Pi_2(K) = 0$ , the inclusion  $(K^{[2]}, \partial X) \rightarrow (K, \partial X)$  extends to a map

$$f : (X, \partial X) \longrightarrow (K, \partial X) \tag{10}$$

which induces an isomorphism of  $\Pi_1$ -systems. Thus we may view  $\omega$  as an element of  $H^1(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ .

**Proposition 6.1.**  *$(X, \partial X)$  is a PD<sup>3</sup>-pair realizing  $(\{\kappa_i\}_{i \in J}, \omega, \mu)$ .*

*Proof.* We must show that

- (i)  $H_3(X, \partial X; \mathbb{Z}^\omega) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ ;
  - (ii)  $f_*([X, \partial X]) = \mu$  where  $[X, \partial X]$  generates  $H_3(X, \partial X; \mathbb{Z}^\omega)$ ;
  - (iii)  $\delta_*[X, \partial X] = [\partial X]$  where  $[\partial X]$  is the fundamental class of the PD<sup>2</sup>-complex  $\partial X$  and  $\delta_*$  is the connecting homomorphism of the short exact sequence  $C(\partial X) \rightarrow C(X) \rightarrow C(X, \partial X)$ ;
  - (iv)  $\cap[X, \partial X] : H^r(X; {}^\omega\Lambda^\omega) \rightarrow H_{r-3}(X, \partial X; \Lambda)$  is an isomorphism for every  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- (i) As  $C(X, \partial X)$  is a chain complex of free  $\Lambda$ -modules,  $\mathbb{Z}^\omega \otimes_\Lambda C(X, \partial X) \cong \text{Hom}_\Lambda(C^*(X, \partial X), \mathbb{Z})$  by Observation 3.2 and  $C^*(X, \partial X) \cong C(X, \partial X)$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} H_3(X, \partial X; \mathbb{Z}^\omega) &= H_3(\mathbb{Z}^\omega \otimes_\Lambda C(X, \partial X)) \\ &\cong H^3({}^\omega\text{Hom}_\Lambda(C^*(X, \partial X), \mathbb{Z})) \\ &\cong \ker ((\varphi^*)^*)^\dagger \\ &\cong \ker \varphi^\dagger \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varphi^\dagger$  arises by applying  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(-, \mathbb{Z})$ . Recall that  $\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} \iota & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \circ j \circ \pi$ . As  $\pi$  and  $j$  are surjective,  $\pi^\dagger$  and  $j^\dagger$  are injective. Hence  $\ker \varphi^\dagger = \ker \begin{bmatrix} \iota^\dagger & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \ker \iota^\dagger$ . But  $I$  is generated by elements  $1 - g, g \in G$ , and  $\psi \circ \iota(1 - g) = \psi(1) - g\psi(1) = 0$  for every  $\psi \in C^2(K, \partial X)$ , so that  $\ker \iota^\dagger = \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . Thus

$$H_3(X, \partial X; \mathbb{Z}^\omega) \cong \ker \varphi^\dagger \cong \ker \iota^\dagger \cong \mathbb{Z}.$$

(ii)  $H_3(X, \partial X; \mathbb{Z}^\omega) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  is generated by  $[X, \partial X] = [1 \otimes x]$  where  $x = (1, 0) \in \Lambda^* \oplus P^* = (\Lambda \oplus P)^* = C_3(X, \partial X)$  is the projection onto the first factor. By Lemma 5.7,  $\nu_{C(X, \partial X), 2}([1 \otimes x])$  is represented by

$$F^2(C(X, \partial X)) \longrightarrow I, [\psi] \longmapsto \overline{\psi(\partial_2(x))}.$$

But, again identifying free  $\Lambda$ -modules and  $\Lambda$ -morphisms between them with their double dual, we obtain, for  $\psi \in C^2(X, \partial X) = C^2(K, \partial X)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\psi(\partial_2(x))} &= \overline{\psi(\varphi^*(x))} = \overline{\psi \circ \varphi^*(x)} = \overline{(\varphi^*)^*(\psi)(x)} \\ &= x(\varphi(\psi)) = x \circ \begin{bmatrix} \iota & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \circ j \circ \pi(\psi) = h([\psi]). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\nu_{C(X, \partial X), 2}([X, \partial X])$  is the homotopy class of  $h$ , so that  $\nu_{C(K, \partial X), 2}(\mu) = \nu_{C(X, \partial X), 2}([X, \partial X])$ . Lemma 5.8 implies  $\nu_{C(K, \partial X), 2}(\mu) = \nu_{C(X, \partial X), 2}([X, \partial X]) = \nu_{C(K, \partial X), 2}(f_*[X, \partial X])$ . As  $\nu_{C(K, \partial X), 2}$  is injective by Lemma 5.9, we may conclude  $\mu = f_*[X, \partial X]$ .

(iii) The map  $f : (X, \partial X) \rightarrow (K, \partial X)$  gives rise to the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & H_3(C(X, \partial X); \mathbb{Z}^\omega) & \xrightarrow{\delta_*} & H_2(C(\partial X); \mathbb{Z}^\omega) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ & & f_* \downarrow & & f_* = \text{id} \downarrow & & \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & H_3(C(K, \partial X); \mathbb{Z}^\omega) & \xrightarrow{\delta_*} & H_2(C(\partial X); \mathbb{Z}^\omega) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \end{array}$$

Hence  $\delta_*([X, \partial X]) = \delta_*(f_*([X, \partial X])) = \delta_*(\mu) = [\partial X]$ .

(iv) First observe that the definition of  $(X, \partial X)$  implies

$$H^2(X, \partial X; {}^\omega\Lambda^\omega) = H_{-2}({}^\omega\text{Hom}_\Lambda(C(X, \partial X); {}^\omega\Lambda^\omega)) = 0.$$

Since  $H_1(X, \Lambda) = H_1(C(X)) = 0$  as well, the homomorphism

$$\cap[X, \partial X] : H^2(X, \partial X; {}^\omega\Lambda^\omega) \rightarrow H_1(X; \Lambda)$$

is an isomorphism.

As  $\Lambda \otimes P$  is free, we may use the natural transformation  $\eta$  to identify  ${}^\omega\text{Hom}_\Lambda((\Lambda \oplus P)^*, {}^\omega\Lambda^\omega)$  with  $\Lambda \oplus P$  and  $(\varphi^*)^*$  with  $\varphi$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} H^3(X, \partial X; {}^\omega\Lambda^\omega) &= H_{-3}({}^\omega\text{Hom}_\Lambda(C(X, \partial X), {}^\omega\Lambda^\omega)) \\ &= {}^\omega\text{Hom}_\Lambda((\Lambda \oplus P)^*, {}^\omega\Lambda^\omega) / \text{im}(\varphi^*)^* \\ &\cong (\Lambda \oplus P) / \text{im}\varphi \\ &\cong \Lambda / I \cong \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,  $H^3(X, \partial X; {}^\omega\Lambda^\omega)$  is generated by  $\psi = (1, 0) \in (\Lambda^*)^* \oplus (P^*)^* = C_3^*(X, \partial X) = C_3^*(X)$ . By Lemma 5.5,

$$[\psi] \cap [X, \partial X] = [\psi] \cap [1 \otimes x] = \overline{\psi(x)} = 1,$$

that is,  $\cap[X, \partial X]$  maps  $\psi$  to a generator of  $H_0(X; \Lambda)$ . Hence

$$\cap[X, \partial X] : H^3(X, \partial X; {}^\omega\Lambda^\omega) \rightarrow H_0(X; \Lambda)$$

is an isomorphism. Since  $\partial X$  is a  $PD^2$ -complex,

$$\cap[\partial X] : H^r(\partial X; {}^\omega\Lambda^\omega) \longrightarrow H_{2-r}(\partial X; \Lambda)$$

is an isomorphism for every  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Thus the Cap Product Ladder (cf. 3.6) of  $(X, \partial X)$  with  $y = [X, \partial X]$  and the Five Lemma imply that

$$\cap[X, \partial X] : H^r(X; {}^\omega\Lambda^\omega) \rightarrow H_{r-3}(X, \partial X; \Lambda)$$



As  $(\Lambda \oplus P)^* \oplus \Lambda^\infty \cong \Lambda^* \oplus P^* \oplus (Q \oplus P^* \oplus Q \oplus \dots) \cong \Lambda^\infty$  is free, the proof that  $(X, \partial X)$  realizes  $(\{\kappa_i\}_{i \in J}, \omega, \mu)$  is analogous to the proof of Proposition 6.1. It only remains to verify that  $X$  is in fact dominated by a finite cell-complex.

We follow Turaev's argument for the absolute case which uses Wall's results on finiteness conditions for  $CW$ -complexes. Since  $X$  is a finite dimensional cell-complex (of dimension three), Theorem F together with Theorems A and E of [14] imply that in order to show that  $X$  is finitely dominated, it is sufficient to show that  $X$  is homotopy equivalent to a  $CW$ -complex with finite skeleta.

Consider the cellular chain complex of  $X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} C(X) : 0 &\longrightarrow (\Lambda \otimes P)^* \oplus \Lambda^\infty \longrightarrow C_2(K, \partial X) \oplus \Lambda^\infty \oplus C_2(\partial X) \\ &\longrightarrow C_1(K, \partial X) \oplus C_1(\partial X) \longrightarrow C_0(K, \partial X) \oplus C_0(\partial X), \end{aligned}$$

and note that it is chain homotopy equivalent to the chain complex

$$\begin{aligned} E : \dots &\longrightarrow \Lambda^n \xrightarrow{\text{pr}} \Lambda^n \xrightarrow{\text{pr}'} \Lambda^n \longrightarrow \Lambda^n \\ &\xrightarrow{q} (\Lambda \oplus P)^* \oplus Q \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} \varphi^* & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}} C_2(K, \partial X) \oplus C_2(\partial X) \longrightarrow C_1(K, \partial X) \oplus C_1(\partial X) \\ &\longrightarrow C_0(K, \partial X) \oplus C_0(\partial X), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\text{pr} : \Lambda^n = P^* \oplus Q \rightarrow Q$  and  $\text{pr}' : \Lambda^n = P^* \oplus Q \rightarrow P^*$  are the canonical projections and  $q(x) = (0, 0, \text{pr}(x)) \in (\Lambda \oplus P)^* \oplus Q$  for  $x \in \Lambda^n$ . By Theorem 2 of [15], there is a  $CW$ -complex  $Y$  with cellular chain complex  $E$  which is homotopy equivalent to  $X$ . Clearly,  $Y$  has finite skeleta and we may conclude that  $X$  is finitely dominated.

**Theorem 6.2.** *Let  $\{\kappa_i : G_i \rightarrow G\}_{i \in J}$  be a  $\Pi_1$ -system such that  $G$  is finitely presentable,  $G_i$  is a surface group and  $\kappa_i$  is injective for every  $i \in J$ . Let  $(K, \partial X)$  be an Eilenberg-Mac Lane pair of type  $K(\{\kappa_i\}_{i \in J}; 1)$  such that the components  $\partial X_i$  of  $\partial X$  are all surfaces. Take  $\omega \in H^1(K; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  and  $\mu \in H_3(K, \partial X; \mathbb{Z}^\omega)$  such that  $\delta_* \mu = [\partial X]$  where  $[\partial X]$  is the fundamental class of the  $PD^2$ -complex  $\partial X$  and  $\delta_*$  is the connecting homomorphism of  $C(\partial X) \rightarrow C(X) \rightarrow C(X, \partial X)$ . Then  $(\{\kappa_i\}_{i \in J}, \omega, \mu)$  is realized by a  $PD^3$ -pair  $(X, \partial X)$  if and only if  $\nu_{C(K, \partial X), 2}(\mu)$  is a class of homotopy equivalences.*

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. Bieri and B. Eckmann, *Relative Homology and Poincaré Duality for Pairs*, J. Pure Applied Algebra, **13** (1978), 277–319
- [2] B. Bleile, *Homotopy Theory of Modules*, Masters Thesis UNE 1999
- [3] B. Bleile, *Homotopy Classification of  $PD^3$ -Pairs*, Research Report, School of Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science, UNE (2002)
- [4] A. Dold, *Lectures on Algebraic Topology*, 2nd edition, Springer Verlag, 1980.

- [5] B. Eckmann and P. Linnell, *Poincaré Duality Groups of Dimension Two, II*, Comment. Math. Helvetici, **58** (1983), 111–114
- [6] B. Eckmann and H. Mueller *Poincaré Duality Groups of Dimension Two*, Comment. Math. Helvetici, **55** (1980), 510–520
- [7] H. Hendriks, *Obstruction Theory in 3-dimensional Topology: An Extension Theorem*, J. London Math. Soc. (2), **16** (1990)
- [8] P.J. Hilton, *Homotopy Theory and Duality*, Gordon and Breach (1963)
- [9] P. Hilton and U. Stammbach, *A Course in Homological Algebra*, 2nd edition, Springer Verlag 1996.
- [10] R.C. Kirby and L.C. Siebenmann, *Foundational Essays on Topological Manifolds, Smoothings and Triangulations*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, Princeton University Press (1977)
- [11] E.H. Spanier, *Algebraic Topology*, Springer Verlag (1966)
- [12] V.G. Turaev, *Three Dimensional Poincaré Complexes: Homotopy Classification and Splitting*, Math. USSR Sbornik, Vol. 67 (1990), No. 1
- [13] C.T.C. Wall, *Poincaré Complexes: I*, Ann. of Math. 2nd series, **86** (1967), 213–245
- [14] C.T.C. Wall, *Finiteness Conditions for CW-Complexes*, Ann. of Math. 2nd series, **81** (1965), 56–69
- [15] C.T.C. Wall, *Finiteness Conditions for CW-Complexes, II*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Ser. A, **295** (1966), 129–139

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF SYDNEY, SYDNEY, 2006 NEW SOUTH WALES, AUSTRALIA